Module 2: Waste-to-Resource Strategies in Agri-Food Systems

Speaker Notes and Lecture Content

Lecture Content:
Google slides MOD2_ALL_DECK_Corrected_11_21_2025

How to Use Speaker Notes (For Teachers)

These speaker notes are designed to support your presentation of Module 2: Waste-to-Resource Strategies in Agri-Food Systems. They provide suggested explanations, sample dialogue, and prompts to help you guide discussion and deepen student understanding.

Flexible and Adaptable

- You are not expected to read the notes word-for-word. Use them as a resource to help you frame each slide and select what works best for your teaching style and time constraints.
- The level of detail you include can vary based on your class. For AP students, you may choose to explore more technical or data-rich explanations. For other groups, simplify the language or focus on key takeaways.

Use Your Voice

- You are encouraged to rephrase content in your own words and bring in local or current examples.
- Feel free to add metaphors, stories, or connections that make the material more relevant and memorable for your students.
- If you have relevant videos, articles, or short activities, these can be used to reinforce or replace certain parts of the notes.

Promote Active Engagement

- The notes often include reflection questions, discussion prompts, and interactive activity suggestions.
- All activities listed are optional. Choose those that best fit your group's time, interests, and learning level.
- A student-facing worksheet has been provided to support note-taking, reflection, or review during and after the lesson.

Be Selective and Strategic

- Not every slide needs to be covered in the same way. Some may require brief explanations; others may invite more time and exploration.
- Consider selecting two to three main points or questions per slide that align with your goals for the lesson.
- Focus on the overall learning objectives: helping students understand how energy is used in agriculture, how technology is changing the field, and how we can design sustainable systems.

Table of Contents

- Slide 71: Review Answers

Wrapping it Up!

- Slide 72: Module 2 Key Takeaways – Organic Waste & Systems Thinking
- Slide 73: What You'll Learn – Mindmap
- Slide 74: Career Pathways
- Slide 75: Sneak Peek on Hands-On Activities

Introduction

Slides 1 to 2

Slide 1: Introduction to Module 2: Waste-to-Resource Strategies in Agri-Food

Provide context on:

- Agriculture produces more than just food—it also generates waste, like crop residues, manure, and food processing by-products.
- Many of these "wastes" can actually be turned into valuable resources through science, technology, and creative problem-solving.
- This module connects lab-based research to practical farm applications, showing how innovations move from the scientist's bench to the farmer's field.

Emphasize that:

- Waste is not the end of the story—it can be a starting point for new products, energy, and sustainable farming methods.
- Students will see how turning waste into resources benefits the environment, the economy, and communities.

This module will break down:

- The science behind agricultural waste and its potential uses.
- Real-world technologies and systems that convert waste into energy, compost, or new products.
- Case studies and innovations where farms close the loop for a sustainable future.

Wrap up the introduction:

- Let students know they'll explore ideas that challenge the traditional "throw it away" mindset.
- Encourage them to think critically about waste in their own lives—what could be reused, repurposed, or transformed?
- End with a quick question: "If you could turn one type of farm waste into something useful, what would it be and why?"

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Welcome to Module 2. In this module, we're going to shift the way we think about waste. Agriculture doesn't just produce food—it also creates leftovers like crop residues, manure, and by-products from processing. Traditionally, these have been seen as a burden. But with science, technology, and creativity, they can become valuable resources—sources of energy, fertilizer, even new products. As we go through this unit, I want you to ask yourself: if waste isn't really waste, but a resource, how can we design systems that capture its value? By the end, you'll see how turning waste into resources helps the environment, the economy, and communities, and you'll be challenged to think about what 'waste' in your own life could be transformed instead of thrown away."*

Slide 2: Learning Outcomes

Address the purpose of the slide:

- Explain that this slide maps out the three lessons in Module 2 and what skills students will be building.
- Emphasize that the module is designed to connect science, math, and real-world sustainability challenges in agriculture.

Tell students they'll be looking at:

- Three different lessons that each approach waste-to-resource strategies from a unique angle.
- How to move from simply identifying waste to actually designing systems that turn it into value.

Explain the different lessons and each focus:

Lesson A – Beyond the Trash: The Five Pathways of Food Waste

- Students will identify five key waste-valorization pathways: landfill, aerobic composting, anaerobic fermentation/digestion (Bokashi & digesters), biochar pyrolysis, and insect bioconversion.
- Describing the inputs, process conditions, and primary outputs of each waste pathway
- They'll compar Greenhouse-gas profiles of the five pathways and determine which emit or avoid the most CO_2-equivalent.
- The goal: figure out which pathway could cut the most CO_2 in different scenarios.

Lesson B – Carbon Math

- Students will do the "carbon math" to compare landfill with more sustainable options.
- They will be taught to understand and recognize the concepts of emission factors and data as well as understanding it in practice
- Design optimal valorization routes to cement concepts and learn how to support them with evidence.
- They'll rank waste-to-resource technologies by carbon savings, energy needs, and useful co-products.
- The goal: make data-backed recommendations for real-world waste streams.

Lesson C – Measuring Waste & Calculating CO_2 Impact

- Students will use data to calculate environmental impacts and design a prototype waste-to-resource system.
- They'll defend their system's feasibility in a mini-pitch to peers.
- The goal: see how multiple waste pathways can work together for a circular economy.

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Here's what you'll be able to do by the end of Module 2. This module has three lessons, each with a different focus. First, you'll learn the five main pathways for handling food waste—landfill, composting, Bokashi and anaerobic digestion, biochar, and insect bioconversion—and compare their greenhouse gas impacts. Then we'll dive into something called 'carbon math,' which helps us put numbers on the emissions avoided or produced by each method, so we can make real evidence-based comparisons. Finally, you'll learn how to measure waste and calculate its carbon footprint, applying those skills to school or community settings. By the end, you'll not only understand the science but also be able to recommend solutions for real-world waste problems, balancing climate, economics, and practicality."*

Lesson A: Beyond the Trash: The Five Pathways of Food Waste

Slides 3 to 50

Slide 3: How can we redefine "waste" as a resource in agricultural and school settings?

Objective: Students will compare the greenhouse gas impacts of different food waste pathways and determine which option delivers the most climate benefit.

Introduction:

- Start by reminding students that waste disposal choices aren't equal when it comes to climate change.
- Connect to something familiar: "How can we redefine "waste" as a resource in agricultural and school settings?"

Key Points to Emphasize:

- The five major food waste pathways: landfill, aerobic composting, anaerobic fermentation/digestion, biochar pyrolysis, and insect bioconversion.
- Each pathway has different process conditions, outputs, and greenhouse gas profiles.
- Methane from landfill is a major driver of climate impact.
- Some methods (like biochar production or insect farming) can avoid emissions and even create valuable products.

Facilitation Tips:

- Draw a simple table or chart on the board showing the five pathways and their CO_2-equivalent impacts.
- Ask students to predict which pathway they think is best before showing any data.
- Encourage group discussion on trade-offs: "Why might the best climate option not always be the most used in real life?"

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- Ask: *"If you were advising a farm or city on food waste management, which pathway would you recommend today — and would your choice change if cost or convenience were the top priority?"*
- Transition: *"Now that we know each pathway's climate impact, let's explore what actually happens in each process."*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Let's start with the big question: what if waste is actually a resource? Right now, food waste is often just thrown away, but not all disposal methods are equal when it comes to the climate. Think about five possible pathways: landfill, aerobic composting, anaerobic fermentation like Bokashi, biochar production, and insect bioconversion. Each has different processes, outputs, and greenhouse gas impacts. For example, landfill generates methane, a very potent greenhouse gas, while biochar locks carbon into soil for centuries. Before we go further, I want you to predict—which of these do you think is best for the climate? And why might the most climate-friendly option not always be the one most used in real life?"*

Slide 4: Where Does Your Waste Go?

Objective: Students will understand what organic waste is, why it makes up a large part of municipal waste, and its environmental impact when landfilled.

Introduction:

- Start by asking: "What do you do with your fruit peels or food scraps at home? Do you know where they really end up?"
- Explain that "organic waste" means anything biodegradable from plants or animals—not just the "organic" food label.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Organic waste includes things like orange peels, food scraps, yard waste, manure, and even paper products.
- Organic waste accounts for over one-third of U.S. municipal solid waste.
- When organic waste goes to a landfill, it decomposes without oxygen, producing methane—a greenhouse gas much stronger than CO_2.

- This methane contributes significantly to climate change.

Facilitation Tips:

- Use a real orange peel or food scrap as a visual prop to make it relatable.
- Show a simple diagram or animation of aerobic vs anaerobic decomposition.
- Encourage students to think about their own waste habits and brainstorm alternatives to throwing organic waste in the trash.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- Ask: "*How might we manage organic waste differently to reduce methane emissions?"*
- Transition to next slide: "*Let's look at some of the pathways that turn organic waste into useful resources instead of methane."*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Let's make this real. Imagine an orange peel. Where does it go once you throw it away? It could end up in a landfill, in a compost pile, in a Bokashi bucket, in a digester, in a biochar kiln, or even in an insect farm. Each choice has a very different outcome, not just for emissions but also for nutrient recovery. Organic waste isn't just trash—it's carbon, nitrogen, and minerals. The question is whether those nutrients get lost in a landfill or recycled back into our soils. So, every pathway is really a decision point: does this peel become a problem, or does it become part of the solution?"*

Slide 5: Where Does the Peel Go?

Objective: Students will recognize the nutrient value in organic waste and understand how managing it sustainably supports climate goals and a circular economy.

Introduction:

- Remind students that organic waste isn't just "trash"—it's full of important nutrients like carbon, nitrogen, and minerals.
- Connect to earlier slide about methane: "Now that we know what happens if waste goes to landfill, let's explore the benefits if we handle it differently."

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Organic waste can be returned to soils to improve fertility and health.
- It can be converted into renewable energy or new products, closing resource loops.
- Managing organic waste sustainably reduces greenhouse gas emissions and supports circular economy goals.
- The path chosen for waste determines whether it harms or helps the environment.

Facilitation Tips:

- Pause here for a short discussion—ask students: "What are some ways we could use food waste to help the environment instead of hurting it?"
- Encourage sharing ideas like composting, bioenergy, or innovative reuse.
- Highlight any student examples or local programs they may know.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- Summarize: "*Understanding the value in organic waste helps us make better choices about its future."*
- Transition: *"Next, we'll explore the five main pathways for turning food waste into valuable resources."*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Now, here's the key idea: organic waste is full of value. It contains carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, and minerals—things plants need to grow. If it goes to landfill, those nutrients are wasted. But if we recycle it, those same nutrients can boost soil fertility, support crops, or even generate energy. This is where circular thinking comes in. Waste can either contribute to climate change, or it can help us fight it. I want you to pause and think: what are some ways food waste could be used to help the environment instead of harm it? Compost, bioenergy, fertilizers, animal feed—there are lots of possibilities. The main takeaway is this: waste is not just trash. It's a choice, and the pathway determines whether it harms or helps."*

Slide 6: Where Does the Peel Go? (Landfill vs Nutrient Cycling)

Objective: Students will understand the greenhouse gas impact of food waste in landfills and why nutrient cycling is the preferred alternative.

Introduction:

- Begin with: "*What happens to most of our food waste? Unfortunately, a lot of it still ends up in landfills."*
- Explain that food waste is the single largest component of U.S. landfills by weight—about 21%.
- Connect to climate: methane from rotting food warms the planet more than 25× faster than CO_2.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Landfills: food waste decomposes without oxygen → produces methane.
- This is a major climate problem, wasting not only food but also the resources used to produce it (land, water, energy, labor).
- Nutrient cycling: returns carbon, nitrogen, and minerals to the soil, reducing greenhouse gas emissions.
- Framing: "Nutrient Cycling = Yes. Landfill = No."

Facilitation Tips:

- Ask students to imagine a year's worth of their household's food waste in a single pile—then multiply that by their entire city.
- Show a quick visual or chart comparing methane's warming power to CO_2.
- If time allows, share an example of a local composting or waste diversion program.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- Ask: "*If nutrient cycling is so much better, what are the main ways we can actually make it happen?"*
- Transition: "*That brings us to the five main waste-to-resource pathways."*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Here's the contrast. In the U.S., food waste is the single largest component of landfills by weight—about 21%. When food rots in landfills, it doesn't decompose with oxygen. Instead, it breaks down anaerobically, releasing methane. And methane is more than 25 times as powerful as CO_2 at trapping heat. So, when we landfill waste, we're not just losing nutrients—we're adding to climate change. Nutrient cycling offers the opposite outcome. Instead of trapping carbon underground and releasing methane, it returns nutrients to soil, supports plant growth, and reduces emissions. A simple way to frame this is: Landfill = No. Nutrient cycling = Yes. The choice is really that stark."*

Slide 7: Food Waste by the Numbers

Objective: Students will grasp the scale of food waste in the U.S. and understand its environmental and resource impacts.

Introduction:

- Start with: *"Let's put the problem into perspective with some eye-opening numbers."*
- Mention that these statistics come from trusted sources like the EPA and ReFED.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- 40–50% of all food produced in the U.S. is never eaten, with most losses happening in homes and restaurants.
- This waste is responsible for ≈ 9% of national greenhouse gas emissions, from farm production to landfill methane.
- Food waste fills ≈ 21% of landfill space, making it the largest single material by weight.
- We're not just throwing away food—we're wasting the land, water, energy, and labor it took to produce it.

Facilitation Tips:

- Ask students to guess the percentage of wasted food before revealing the actual number.
- Use a visual analogy*: "Imagine buying two bags of groceries and throwing one straight in the trash."*
- Point out that food waste is both an environmental and an economic problem.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- Ask: "*With waste on this scale, what kind of impact could we make by changing even a small percentage of how we handle food?"*
- Transition: "*Next, we'll see how different waste-to-resource pathways can turn these numbers around."*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Let's look at the scale of the problem. About 40–50% of all food produced in the U.S. is never*

eaten. Almost half! And most of that waste happens in homes and restaurants. This waste is responsible for nearly 9% of U.S. greenhouse gas emissions, from the farm inputs used to grow the food all the way to landfill methane. In landfills, food waste fills about 21% of total space—making it the single largest category by weight. And remember, when we waste food, we're also wasting the water, land, energy, and labor that went into producing it. To picture it another way: imagine buying two bags of groceries and throwing one straight into the trash—that's basically what we're doing at the national level. So the stakes are high. Cutting food waste is one of the biggest climate opportunities we have."

Slide 8: Food Waste by the Numbers (Video)

Objective: Students will connect statistical information about food waste to a real-world, visual explanation through a short video.

Introduction:

- Say: "*We've seen some numbers about food waste, but now we'll watch a short video that brings those facts to life."*
- Mention that the video is by Deborah Pagliaccia and relates directly to the statistics they just learned.

Key Points to Emphasize (before or after video):

- Remind students to look for the main causes of food waste mentioned in the video.
- Have them note any surprising facts or visuals that stood out.
- Encourage them to think about how the video connects to the 40–50% waste figure from the previous slide.

Facilitation Tips:

- Play the video without interruption, but let students know they can jot quick notes while watching.
- After the video, do a quick "think-pair-share":
    - What was one thing in the video that surprised you?
    - What's one solution mentioned that could work in your own home or community?

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- Ask: "*How does seeing the problem visually change the way you think about it?"*
- Transition*: "Now, let's break down the causes and impacts of food waste in more detail."*

Spoken Dialogue:

"Let's watch a short video to bring these statistics to life. As you watch, I want you to pay attention to two things: first, the biggest reasons food is wasted at different points along the food chain, and second, the scale of the impact on the environment. Look out for visuals that surprise you—sometimes seeing the piles of food waste or the landfill operations makes the numbers feel more real. Afterward, we'll come back and connect what you saw to the solutions we'll be exploring in this module."

Slide 9: Optional Review: Linear vs. Circular Loop Pathway

Objective: Students will compare a non-regenerative linear system with a regenerative circular system and understand how the 5 Rs help close the loop.

Introduction:

- Start with: "*Let's revisit the difference between a linear system and a circular system—and why it matters for sustainability."*
- Explain that in a linear system, resources are taken, used, and thrown away, while in a circular system, resources are reused and regenerated.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Linear system: "Take → Make → Waste." Once resources are used, they're gone. This leads to constant extraction and waste buildup.
- Circular system: Keeps materials and nutrients cycling through reuse, repair, and regeneration.
- The 5 Rs of a circular system:
    - Refuse – Say no to unnecessary products (e.g., single-use plastics).
    - Reduce – Use fewer resources by designing products smarter.

    - Reuse – Extend a product's life through swapping, repairing, or thrift.
    - Repurpose – Find new uses for old items.
    - Regenerate – Return nutrients to the earth via composting, biochar, or digestion.

Facilitation Tips:

- Draw two quick diagrams on the board: one arrow for linear, one loop for circular.
- Ask students to give a real-life example for each "R" from their own lives.
- Highlight how these principles apply to both household waste and agricultural systems.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- Ask: *"Which of the 5 Rs do you think you already practice the most, and which could you improve on?"*
- Transition: *"Next, we'll see how these ideas apply directly to agricultural waste systems."*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Before we dive into the pathways, let's pause and think about systems. A linear system is the kind we're used to: take, make, use, throw away. Food and resources flow in one direction, and waste piles up at the end. A circular system is different—it keeps materials cycling back into use. Waste is minimized, nutrients and energy are recovered, and the system regenerates itself. We often summarize this with the 5 Rs: Refuse, Reduce, Reuse, Repair, Recycle. Keep this in mind as we compare waste pathways: some are more linear, while others are more circular."*

Slide 10: Optional Review: Linear vs. Circular Loop Pathway (Linear Path)

Objective: Students will understand the "take-make-waste" model of a linear system and why it is unsustainable.

Introduction:

- Say: *"Now let's zoom in on the linear path—the way most of our current economy works."*
- Remind students that linear systems move in one direction: resource extraction → product → waste.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- We TAKE: Extract raw materials like iron, oil, and timber from the earth.
- We MAKE: Manufacture products—phones, clothes, food—using those resources.
- We WASTE: Once the product is used, leftovers are thrown away. No recovery of value.
- This process depletes natural resources and creates a constant stream of waste.
- In a linear system, materials and nutrients are lost forever once they reach the trash.

Facilitation Tips:

- Ask students to trace the life of an everyday item—like a hamburger or a pair of sneakers—through the take-make-waste steps.
- Compare the lifespan of products in a linear system to those in a circular one.
- Use a short visual (arrow from TAKE → MAKE → WASTE) to reinforce the one-way flow.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- Ask: *"What's one example of something you use that's stuck in a linear path?"*
- Transition: *"Next, we'll look at how a circular path changes the story."*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Before we dive into the pathways, let's pause and think about systems. A linear system is the kind we're used to: take, make, use, throw away. Food and resources flow in one direction, and waste piles up at the end. A circular system is different—it keeps materials cycling back into use. Waste is minimized, nutrients and energy are recovered, and the system regenerates itself. We often summarize this with the 5 Rs: Refuse, Reduce, Reuse, Repair, Recycle. Keep this in mind as we compare waste pathways: some are more linear, while others are more circular."*

Slide 11: Linear vs. Circular (5 Rs) (Video)

Objective: Students will grasp what a circular economy is and how it differs from a linear model by watching a concise video explanation.

Introduction:

- Say: *"We've talked about linear and circular systems—let's now see them explained visually through a short video from Study Hall."*
- Tell students to focus on how the circular economy aims to eliminate waste and keep resources in use.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- A circular economy is the opposite of "take → make → waste"—here, materials and products are maintained in use as long as possible through strategies like reuse, redesign, and recycling.
- The circular economy is built upon three design-driven principles:
  - Eliminate waste and pollution
  - Circulate products and materials at their highest value
  - Regenerate natural systems
- It addresses environmental pressures—like climate change, biodiversity loss, and resource depletion—while offering social and economic benefits.

Facilitation Tips:

- Play the video fully, encouraging students to take quick notes or sketch a mental picture.
- After the video, ask students to briefly share one example they remember of how a circular economy works.
- You can reinforce understanding by drawing the "infinity loop" or butterfly diagram commonly used to represent circular flows.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- Ask: "*What's one change you could make at home or school that brings us closer to circular thinking?"*
- Transition: "*Next, we'll apply these circular principles to agricultural waste pathways to see how they help close the loop in farming systems."*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Here's a video to illustrate the difference between linear and circular systems. As you watch, look for moments where waste is diverted back into use—those are the points where a circular economy is being created. After the video, I'll ask you to share one example you saw of a circular solution in action."*

Slides 12 to 20: Where Does the Peel Go?

Objective: Students will understand the different pathways food waste can take—landfill, composting, Bokashi fermentation, anaerobic digestion, and biochar pyrolysis—and evaluate how each affects greenhouse gas emissions, nutrient cycling, and soil health. They will recognize the trade-offs and benefits of each method, building the ability to compare waste-to-resource strategies through a sustainability lens.

Slide 12: Path 1: Landfill

Objective: Explain the environmental impact of food waste decomposition in landfills, focusing on methane emissions and nutrient loss.

Overview:

- This slide introduces one possible fate of organic waste—the landfill route. It highlights how anaerobic decomposition leads to methane production and why this is a major climate concern.

Key Points:

- Anaerobic decay: In landfills, food waste is buried and lacks oxygen, causing it to break down anaerobically.
- Methane impact: Methane has 28–36× the global warming potential of CO_2 over 100 years (EPA, 2021).
- Emission range: One ton of food waste can produce 0.25–0.75 metric tons of CO_2-equivalent methane.
- Nutrient loss: Nutrients in the peel do not return to the soil—completely breaking the natural cycle.

Facilitation Tips:

- Ask: *"When you throw a banana peel away, do you think it disappears? Or does it stick around—in a way that affects the climate?"*
- Use a visual comparison (e.g., methane molecule vs. CO_2 molecule) to reinforce potency difference.
- Relate to real-world imagery—landfills covered in waste to show scale.

Wrap-Up:

- Landfilling organic waste traps its nutrients and creates potent greenhouse gases, turning what could be a resource into a climate problem.

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Now, let's get specific. Pathway 1 is the landfill, and it's the most common in the U.S. When food waste is buried in a landfill, there's no oxygen. That means microbes break it down anaerobically, producing methane. And methane is 28 to 36 times stronger than carbon dioxide at trapping heat in the atmosphere over 100 years. To put it into perspective, just one ton of food waste can generate up to three-quarters of a ton of CO_2-equivalent methane. On top of that, all the nutrients in the food—carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus—are lost, trapped away instead of going back to soil. When you toss a banana peel in the trash, it doesn't disappear. It sticks around, contributing to climate change."*

Slide 13: Path 2: Aerobic Compost

Objective: Explain how aerobic composting works, its environmental benefits compared to landfilling, and its role in nutrient cycling.

Overview:

- Aerobic composting uses oxygen to break down food waste, producing CO_2 instead of methane.
- Emissions are much lower than from landfills—up to 90% less greenhouse gases.
- The final product is a nutrient-rich soil amendment that improves soil health and structure.

Key Points

- Oxygen flow prevents methane – microbes decompose the waste aerobically.
- Lower GHG footprint – ~0.05–0.15 t CO_2-eq per ton of food waste composted, versus much higher emissions from landfills (Pérez T., 2023).
- Nutrient return – finished compost returns nitrogen, phosphorus, and carbon to soils, improving fertility.
- Timeframe – typically 4–6 weeks to produce crumbly compost teeming with beneficial microbes.

Facilitation Tips

- Ask students: "Why do you think aerobic composting produces less methane than landfilling?"
- Show a side-by-side chart comparing GHG emissions from landfill vs composting.
- Mention that composting is scalable—from backyard bins to large industrial facilities.

Wrap-Up

- Aerobic composting not only slashes GHG emissions but also transforms waste into a resource that feeds plants and improves soil—closing the nutrient loop sustainably.

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Pathway 2 is aerobic composting. This process is oxygen-rich, so microbes break food down aerobically, producing carbon dioxide instead of methane. The climate impact is much smaller—emissions are up to 90% lower compared to landfilling. Composting also has the advantage of nutrient cycling. The finished compost returns nitrogen, phosphorus, and carbon back to the soil, improving fertility and structure. In 4 to 6 weeks, you get a crumbly, earthy-smelling material teeming with beneficial microbes. Composting is a circular process—taking what would have been waste and turning it back into something plants can use. Why does composting produce less methane than landfills? Because oxygen changes the entire microbial community."*

Slide 14: Path 3: Bokashi Fermentation

Objective: Explain how Bokashi fermentation works, its advantages for difficult-to-compost materials, and its role in nutrient cycling.

Overview

- Bokashi is an anaerobic fermentation process that "pre-digests" food waste using beneficial microbes.
- Works well for materials that are hard to compost traditionally, like citrus peels, coffee grounds, and cooked foods.
- Produces a nutrient-dense input that can later be incorporated into soil.

Key Points

- Anaerobic process – happens in a sealed container, preventing odors and slowing decomposition.
- Fast processing – most food scraps are fermented in about two weeks.
- Low odor – unlike landfills or traditional anaerobic decomposition, the process is almost smell-free.
- Nutrient-rich output – food waste is transformed into a lactic-acid-rich substrate that benefits soil and plants.

Facilitation Tips

- Compare Bokashi to pickling: microbes "pickle" the waste rather than letting it rot.
- Ask students: *"Which foods at home might be tricky to compost, but could work in a Bokashi system?"*
- Show a small visual of a sealed Bokashi bucket with bran layering.

Wrap-Up

- Bokashi fermentation is a flexible, quick, and low-odor method to recycle nutrients from food waste that's otherwise hard to compost.
- Transition: *"Next, we'll look at another pathway—anaerobic digestion—used at a larger scale for energy recovery."*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Now let's look at Bokashi fermentation. Bokashi is an anaerobic process, but unlike landfills, it doesn't let food rot—it ferments it. Think of it like pickling your food scraps. In a sealed container, you layer waste with inoculated bran full of beneficial microbes. In about two weeks, the microbes ferment the waste into a nutrient-dense mash. This method works especially well for foods that are difficult to compost, like citrus, meat, dairy, or cooked food. And because it's sealed and controlled, it produces almost no odor. The result isn't finished compost, but a fermented substrate that enriches soil once it's buried or added to a compost pile."*

Slide 15: Path 3: Bokashi Fermentation (Anaerobic), Part 2

Objective: Explain the expanded capabilities and environmental benefits of Bokashi fermentation, including nutrient recovery and low greenhouse-gas emissions.

Overview:

- Bokashi can handle a wide variety of organic waste, including meat, dairy, citrus, bones, and cooked foods—items that are normally difficult to compost.
- It produces very little greenhouse gas, making it climate-friendly.
- The fermentation process creates a sour smell rather than the foul odor of rotting food.

Key Points:

- Versatility – can process foods that traditional composting cannot handle.
- Low emissions – almost no methane or CO_2 is released during fermentation.
- Byproducts –
    - Liquid (Bokashi tea) can be diluted (1:100) and used as fertilizer.
    - Fermented mash can be buried or added to compost piles for soil enrichment.

- Nutrient retention – soil microbes finish decomposition and keep nutrients available for plants.

Facilitation Tips:

- Ask students: *"Why might this method be better than throwing meat or bones in a traditional compost?"*
- Highlight how Bokashi is a small-scale, low-tech solution with big environmental benefits.
- Show images of fermented mash and liquid fertilizer to make it tangible.

Wrap-Up:

- Bokashi fermentation transforms challenging food waste into fertilizer and soil inputs while avoiding greenhouse-gas emissions—another way to close the nutrient loop.

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Bokashi has several unique strengths. First, it's versatile—you can include foods that normally cause problems in compost. Second, emissions are very low—there's virtually no methane or carbon dioxide released during fermentation. Third, the byproducts are valuable: the 'Bokashi tea,' or liquid runoff, can be diluted and used as fertilizer, and the fermented mash enriches soil when buried. It's a small-scale, low-tech solution with surprisingly big benefits. Imagine a classroom or cafeteria using Bokashi buckets instead of sending scraps to the dumpster."*

Slide 16: Path 3: Bokashi Fermentation (Drawbacks)

Objective: Highlight the limitations and practical considerations of using Bokashi fermentation for food waste.

Overview:

- While Bokashi is versatile and climate-friendly, it has some operational requirements that must be followed to be effective.

Key Points

- Airtight container needed – Bokashi fermentation relies on anaerobic conditions; without a proper seal, the process fails.
- Regular bran additions – Microbes need to stay active, so bran must be replenished consistently.
- Post-processing step – The fermented mash still needs to be buried or composted to fully decompose and return nutrients to the soil.

Facilitation Tips

- Ask students: *"What challenges might someone face if they tried to do Bokashi at home?"*
- Compare it to other methods (like aerobic composting) in terms of ease of use and maintenance.
- Emphasize the importance of following proper procedure to get the benefits of nutrient cycling and low emissions.

Wrap-Up:

- Despite its drawbacks, Bokashi fermentation is a powerful tool for processing difficult food waste while retaining nutrients and minimizing greenhouse-gas emissions.
- Transition: *"Next, we'll look at large-scale anaerobic digestion, which also processes food waste without producing methane in the same way."*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Of course, Bokashi isn't perfect. It requires airtight containers, consistent additions of bran, and attention to detail. If the container isn't sealed properly, the fermentation fails. And remember, the mash isn't ready to use right away—it still needs to be buried in soil or added to a compost pile for microbes to finish the decomposition process. So, while Bokashi is flexible and climate-friendly, it also demands careful management. If you were trying this at home, what challenges might you face?"*

Slide 17: Path 3: Anaerobic Digester

Objective: Explain how an anaerobic digester works, its inputs, outputs, and environmental benefits in managing food and organic waste.

Introduction

- Say: *"Anaerobic digesters are large-scale systems that process organic waste without oxygen, producing energy and nutrient-rich byproducts."*
- Point to the image and explain it shows the inputs going in and the outputs coming out.

Key Points

- Inputs – manure, wastewater biosolids, food waste, and other organics (energy crops, oils, greases).
- Process – waste is digested anaerobically in a sealed system, producing biogas and digestate.
- Outputs – Biogas – can be converted to:
    - Electricity
    - Heat
    - Vehicle fuel
    - Renewable natural gas
- Outputs – Digestate – can be used for:
    - Organic fertilizer
    - Animal bedding
    - Horticultural products (soil amendments, plant pots)
    - Other products (building materials)
    - Crop irrigation

Facilitation Tips

- Walk students through the diagram step by step: show waste inputs → digester → biogas and digestate outputs.
- Ask: *"How does capturing energy from waste compare to just letting it decompose in a landfill?"*
- Highlight how anaerobic digestion both reduces greenhouse gases and creates usable products.

Wrap-Up / Transition

- Conclude: Anaerobic digesters turn food and organic waste into energy and nutrients, supporting circular economy principles.
- Transition: *"Next, we'll explore another innovative pathway—biochar production—which also transforms organic waste into valuable products."*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"On a larger scale, we have anaerobic digesters. These sealed systems take in manure, food waste, and other organics, and break them down without oxygen. The process produces two major outputs: biogas and digestate. The biogas can be converted into electricity, heat, vehicle fuel, or renewable natural gas. The digestate can be used as fertilizer, animal bedding, or even horticultural products like soil amendments. Digesters reduce emissions by preventing uncontrolled methane release and by displacing fossil fuel use with renewable energy. Think about it this way: landfill methane is wasted energy, but digesters capture that methane and put it to work."*

Slide 18: Where Does the Peel Go? Path 4: Biochar Pyrolysis

Objective: Explain how biochar pyrolysis works and its benefits for carbon sequestration and soil health.

Introduction/Overview:

- Introduce biochar as a method of turning organic waste into a stable, long-lasting form of carbon.
- Explain that pyrolysis is the process of heating biomass in low-oxygen conditions to create biochar.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Pyrolysis = heating dried biomass with little to no oxygen.
- Results in biochar, a stable form of carbon that can remain in soil for centuries.
- Biochar improves soil structure: its porous nature holds water and provides a habitat for microbes.
- This method helps reduce carbon in the atmosphere and supports sustainable agriculture.

Facilitation Tips:

- Use a simple analogy: “Imagine turning a peel into tiny charcoal sponges for the soil.”
- Ask students if they’ve seen charcoal or used it in gardening.
- Highlight the environmental impact: carbon storage vs. decomposition.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *“Now that we’ve seen biochar, how does it compare to composting or other peel-recycling methods in terms of environmental benefits?”*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *“Pathway 4 is biochar pyrolysis. In this process, dried biomass is heated in low-oxygen conditions. Instead of decomposing, the carbon is locked into a solid form: biochar. This stable carbon can persist in soil for centuries, effectively storing carbon and preventing emissions. Biochar also improves soil by holding water and nutrients and providing a habitat for microbes. You can think of biochar as little charcoal sponges in the soil. It’s one of the most climate-friendly pathways because it actively removes carbon from the short-term cycle and puts it into long-term storage.”*

Slide 19: Where Does the Peel Go? Path 4: Biochar Pyrolysis Benefits

Objective: Highlight the specific environmental and agricultural benefits of turning peel biomass into biochar.

Introduction/Overview:

- Explain that biochar isn’t just stable carbon—it actively improves soil health and helps fight climate change.
- Introduce the three main benefits with catchy descriptors: Carbon Vault, Soil Super-Sponge, and Microbe Mansion.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Carbon Vault: Locks up to 50% of the carbon in peels for centuries, helping reduce greenhouse gases.
- Soil Super-Sponge: Its porous structure retains water and nutrients, which decreases fertilizer runoff and can increase crop yields.
- Microbe Mansion: The jagged, porous surface provides a home for beneficial soil microbes, improving overall soil health.
- Biochar is a “win-win” for both climate and agriculture.

Facilitation Tips:

- Ask students which benefit they find most surprising or exciting.
- Use a visual analogy: compare biochar pores to tiny apartments for microbes.
- Emphasize the long-term impact of carbon storage—link it to climate change in simple terms.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *“Considering all these benefits, how might farmers decide whether to use composting, anaerobic digestion, or biochar for their organic waste?”*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *“Biochar has three big benefits. First, it acts as a Carbon Vault, locking up to half of the carbon in waste for centuries. Second, it’s a Soil Super-Sponge, retaining water and nutrients, reducing fertilizer runoff, and improving yields. Third, it’s a Microbe Mansion, creating homes for beneficial soil organisms. So biochar is a win-win: it helps combat climate change and builds healthier soils. Which of these benefits do you find most surprising? The climate impact? The soil impact? Or the microbial support?”*

Slide 20: Where Does the Peel Go? Path 4: Biochar Pyrolysis Trade-Offs

Objective: Help students understand the challenges and trade-offs of using biochar pyrolysis as a waste management and soil improvement method.

Introduction/Overview:

- Explain that while biochar has many benefits, the process also has energy, cost, and logistical considerations. Introduce the idea of trade-offs in sustainable practices.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- High Heat Needed: Pyrolysis requires significant energy to reach the temperatures needed to produce biochar.
- Loop Closer: The process produces syngas (a type of gas), which can be burned to partially fuel the kiln, reducing external energy needs.
- Setup Cost: Building and maintaining pyrolysis kilns can be expensive.
- Free Fuel Source: Campus yard waste or similar biomass can provide a continuous feedstock for the system, offsetting some costs.
- Emphasize that sustainability often involves balancing benefits and costs.

Facilitation Tips:

- Ask students to brainstorm where the energy for pyrolysis might come from on a real farm or campus.
- Encourage discussion on how using waste as fuel fits into a circular economy.
- Relate trade-offs to familiar experiences: “Sometimes we need to spend energy or money upfront to get long-term benefits.”

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *“Now that we’ve seen both the benefits and trade-offs of biochar, how does it compare to composting or anaerobic digestion in terms of efficiency, cost, and environmental impact?”*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *“Of course, biochar also has trade-offs. Pyrolysis requires very high heat, which means significant energy input. Kilns can be expensive to build and operate. However, the process produces syngas—a type of gas that can fuel the kiln itself, reducing outside energy needs. The key is having a reliable stream of biomass, like yard waste or crop residues, to feed the system. This is where circular thinking comes in: sometimes you need to invest energy and resources up front to get much larger climate and soil benefits in the long run. If you were a farmer, what would you weigh when deciding whether biochar was worth the investment?”*

Slide 21: What is Insect Bioconversion?

Objective: Introduce students to insect bioconversion as an innovative method for reducing organic waste and producing useful protein feed.

Introduction/Overview:

- Explain that some insects, like black soldier fly larvae, can consume food waste and transform it into valuable resources.
- Highlight that this is an alternative, fast, and efficient way to manage scraps.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Black soldier fly larvae consume bokashi-treated or other organic scraps.
- They can reduce waste volume by up to 80%, shrinking the overall amount of organic material.
- The larvae themselves become a high-protein feed for animals, creating a circular resource loop.
- Emphasize that this method is both environmentally friendly and practical.

Facilitation Tips:

- Play the video to show larvae in action—visuals help students understand the process. How to collect and process organic waste for BSF maggot feed
- Ask students why turning waste into protein might be beneficial for farms or aquaculture.
- Compare the process to composting or biochar to highlight different waste management strategies.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"After seeing how larvae can transform waste, what other creative ways might people use insects or other organisms to reduce food waste?"*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Our final pathway is insect bioconversion. Here, black soldier fly larvae are the stars of the show. These insects can eat enormous amounts of organic waste—everything from food scraps to agricultural by-products. As they feed, they reduce the volume of waste by as much as 80%. Then, the larvae themselves become a valuable product: they're high in protein and fat, making them excellent animal feed. The byproduct left behind—called frass—is a nutrient-rich fertilizer. So this method does two things at once: it diverts food waste from landfills and creates two new resources, feed and fertilizer. It's a great example of nature helping us solve a human problem."*

Slide 22: What is Insect Bioconversion? (Flowchart)

Objective: Help students understand the full process of insect bioconversion, from food and agricultural waste to multiple useful products.

Introduction/Overview:

- Explain that insect bioconversion uses organisms like black soldier fly larvae to transform organic waste into protein, fertilizer, and other valuable byproducts.
- Use the flowchart to trace the journey of waste through the system.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Starting Material: Food and agricultural waste undergo fermentation with a microbial starter.
- Fractions Produced:
  - Solid Fraction (~70%) feeds the larvae.
  - Liquid Fraction (~30%) contains nutrients that can be used for liquid fertilizer, nitrogen/phosphorus recovery, or carbon capture.
- Larvae Biomass Uses:
  - Animal feed for poultry, fish, goats, cows.
  - Aquaponics systems or biofuel production from fat.
  - Larvae produce chitin, which can be converted into chitosan for plant disease control, bandages, or water treatment.
- Frass (Larvae Waste): Can be used as biofertilizer or soil amendment.
- Melanin from larvae: Has industrial uses in paints, batteries, and sunscreen.

Facilitation Tips:

- Walk through one pathway at a time to avoid overwhelming students.
- Use analogies: "Larvae are like tiny recycling factories turning scraps into a menu of useful products."
- Highlight the circular economy concept—nothing goes to waste.
- Encourage students to identify which outputs might be most relevant for local agriculture or home composting.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"Looking at this flowchart, what do you think is the most surprising or valuable product from insect bioconversion, and why?"*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Here's how the system works step by step. First, food waste is collected, and sometimes pretreated—for example, fermented with Bokashi. Then, the solid material is given to the larvae, who eat and grow quickly. The larvae are then harvested as protein-rich feed. They can be dried and ground into meal for chickens, fish, or pets, or used fresh. The frass, which is what's left after the larvae process the waste, is collected and used as an organic fertilizer for crops. Some companies even extract oils, chitin, or melanin from the larvae for industrial or pharmaceutical uses. The key point here is that nothing is wasted: every output has value."*

Slide 23: Double Valorization

Objective: Explain the concept of double valorization and how waste can be transformed in multiple steps to create valuable products.

Introduction/Overview:

- *"On this slide, we're looking at a concept called double valorization. Valorization means turning something that would normally be thrown away into something useful.*
- *Double valorization takes it one step further—it shows how waste can be used not just once, but twice to create multiple valuable products."*

Key Points to Emphasize:

- First Valorization: The initial step where waste is converted into a primary product. Example: food scraps turned into compost.
- Second Valorization: The by-product from the first process is further processed into another valuable output. Example: leftover compost material used to generate biogas or animal feed.
- Highlight that this approach maximizes resource efficiency and reduces overall waste.

Facilitation Tips:

- Use a simple real-world example (like food scraps → compost → biogas) to make the concept relatable.
- Encourage students to think of other examples where one product leads to another valuable product.
- Ask students to draw a quick diagram showing the two steps to reinforce learning.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"Double valorization helps us see the full potential of resources. Next, we'll explore some specific methods where this is applied, like fermentation, biochar, or insect bioconversion."*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Now that we've seen the five main pathways, let's zoom out to a bigger concept: valorization. Valorization means creating value from waste. Double valorization means taking it a step further—using the byproducts from one process to create even more products. For example, you might ferment food scraps into Bokashi, then use those fermented scraps to feed insects, creating protein and fertilizer. Or you might compost, and then use the residuals for bioenergy. This way, we squeeze the maximum benefit out of each ton of waste. It's about asking: how many useful products can we generate from something people usually throw away?"*

Slide 24: More Valorization Streams

Objective: Show students multiple real-world examples of how different waste streams can be converted into valuable products, emphasizing circular economy thinking.

Introduction/Overview:

- *"Here, we're exploring several ways that organic waste can be transformed into something useful.*
- *Each example takes a common waste product and turns it into a valuable output, demonstrating creative solutions for sustainability."*

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Crop residues → Oyster mushrooms: Residues feed mushrooms, and leftover mycelium becomes nutrient-rich compost.
- Used cooking oil → Biodiesel: Oil can be repurposed to create fuel, even powering vehicles like school buses.
- Fruit pulp → Bioplastics: Pectin and starch from fruit waste can form biodegradable forks that return to soil.
- Manure + food waste → Algae fertilizer pellets: Combines waste into slow-release fertilizers, promoting circular nutrient cycles.
- Emphasize the idea that waste isn't "waste"—it's a resource if we valorize it.

Facilitation Tips:

- Ask students if they've seen mushrooms grown on leftover food or compost bins at home/school.
- Encourage them to brainstorm other products that could come from everyday waste.
- Use visuals or icons for each stream to make it easier to remember.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"These examples show that there are many ways to valorize waste. Next, we'll dive into one particularly innovative path: insect bioconversion."*

Spoken Dialogue:

"There are real-world examples of this creativity in action. Farmers can grow oyster mushrooms on crop residues, then compost the leftovers. Used cooking oil can be collected and turned into biodiesel for buses. Fruit pulp from juice production can become biodegradable plastics. Manure plus food waste can even be used to grow algae, which is then pelletized as fertilizer. Notice how in each case, something considered waste becomes the input for something valuable. This is the mindset shift we're aiming for in circular systems: every output has a next use."

Slide 25: Five Food-Waste Valorization Pathways at a Glance

Objective: Introduce students to the main pathways for converting food waste into useful products, highlighting differences in inputs, conditions, and outputs.

Introduction/Overview:

- *"This slide summarizes five ways we can manage food waste. Each pathway uses different types of waste, operates under specific conditions, and produces different valuable outputs. Understanding these helps us see the variety of approaches in sustainable waste management."*

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Landfill: Mixed waste decomposes anaerobically without control, producing methane (a greenhouse gas) and leachate.
- Composting: Organic material breaks down aerobically over weeks, producing compost and CO_2.
- Anaerobic Digestion/Bokashi: Organic waste decomposes anaerobically in controlled conditions, producing biogas for energy and digestate for soil.
- Biochar Pyrolysis: Dry biomass is heated with low oxygen to produce biochar (soil enhancer) and syngas (fuel).
- Insect Bioconversion: Food scraps feed larvae in warm, humid conditions, producing protein-rich meal and frass (fertilizer).
- Highlight how each pathway differs in time, conditions, and outputs, showing a spectrum from simple disposal to high-value recovery.

Facilitation Tips:

- Use a visual table or chart to compare the five pathways.
- Ask students which pathways they think are most sustainable or beneficial.
- Relate outputs to things students know (e.g., biochar as soil booster, larvae as animal feed).

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"Now that we've seen the big picture, let's explore one of the most innovative pathways in detail: insect bioconversion."*

Spoken Dialogue:

"Let's pause and summarize what we've learned. Here are the five main pathways at a glance: landfill, composting, Bokashi and anaerobic digestion, biochar, and insect bioconversion. For each one, think about the inputs it can handle, the process it uses, the outputs it creates, and the climate impact—whether it emits greenhouse gases or avoids them. This chart is a cheat sheet that you can keep in mind as we go through the rest of the module. As you look at it, ask yourself: which pathways seem most practical for your school or community? Which ones seem more futuristic or technical?"

Slide 26: Upcycling Toolkit Comparison

Objective: Compare four common food-waste valorization methods—composting, Bokashi, biochar, and insect bioconversion—highlighting speed, technology needs, outputs, and sustainability benefits.

Introduction/Overview:

- *"This slide provides a side-by-side comparison of four ways we can upcycle organic waste. Each method has different speeds, technology requirements, outputs, and environmental impacts. This helps students see which approaches might work best in different settings."*

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Composting: Slow (weeks–months), low-tech, produces soil compost, reduces landfill methane.
- Bokashi: Fast (10–14 days), low-tech and indoor-friendly, produces pre-composted pickled waste, minimal emissions.
- Biochar: Moderate speed (hours–days), requires a kiln, produces carbon-rich biochar, excellent for carbon sequestration.
- Insect Bioconversion: Fast (7–10 days), requires controlled conditions, produces protein and frass, offsets animal feed production and cuts waste.
- Emphasize the trade-offs: speed vs. technology vs. output type.

Facilitation Tips:

- Use the table to visually compare the methods; highlight differences in speed and outputs.
- Ask students which method they think would work best at school or home and why.
- Encourage discussion of sustainability benefits like reducing landfill methane or producing protein feed.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"Understanding these differences helps us choose the right method for the right context. Next, we'll look at a bonus path that's especially exciting: black soldier fly larvae and insect bioconversion in action."*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"To make smart choices, we need to compare across multiple criteria, not just emissions. Here's the toolkit comparison: energy input, cost and complexity, processing time, main benefits, and key limitations. Some pathways, like composting, are low-cost and simple but slower. Others, like digesters, are expensive and complex but give you energy as well as fertilizer. Biochar locks carbon for centuries but requires a kiln and high heat. Insects can reduce waste volume quickly and produce feed, but you have to manage live organisms. This comparison helps us see that there isn't one perfect solution. The best choice depends on your context, your goals, and the resources available."*

Slide 27: Where Does the Peel Go? – In Conclusion

Objective: Summarize the key ideas of food-waste valorization and reinforce circular thinking.

Introduction/Overview:

- *"This slide wraps up our discussion about what can happen to food waste—like orange peels or other leftovers—and why it matters.*
- *It highlights that what we throw away can actually be turned into valuable resources."*

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Landfill, compost, bokashi/digester, and biochar are examples of pathways, but there are many more possibilities.
- The concept of 'waste-to-wealth' shows that circular thinking can transform leftovers into resources.
- Encourages students to see waste not as trash, but as an opportunity for creativity and sustainability.

Facilitation Tips:

- Ask students to share examples from home of how they or their families reuse food scraps.
- Use a metaphor like a "tapestry" to make the idea of multiple valorization threads more visual.
- Reinforce the importance of thinking about the bigger picture, not just one solution.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"Thinking circular opens up many possibilities for innovation. Next, we'll explore a bonus path that's especially exciting: insect bioconversion, where leftovers literally feed the next product."*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"So, what happens to our orange peel? We've seen that the answer depends entirely on the pathway. In a landfill, it becomes methane and wasted nutrients. In compost, it becomes fertilizer and avoids most emissions. In Bokashi or a digester, it's transformed into a nutrient-rich product with very low emissions. In biochar, it's locked away as stable carbon for centuries. And in insects, it becomes protein for animals and fertilizer for soil. No single pathway is perfect, but each has*

strengths. Often, the smartest systems combine multiple methods—compost plus Bokashi, or insects plus digesters—so that nothing goes to waste. The key message is: waste is a choice. And the choice of pathway determines whether that waste harms the climate or helps the food system."

Slide 28: Case Study: Circular Thinking in Action

Objective: Students will understand how circular strategies can convert agricultural waste into valuable resources, benefiting both the environment and crop productivity.

Introduction/Overview:

- Citrus nurseries in California produce a lot of organic waste, like prunings and fruit residues. Traditionally, this waste ends up in landfills, where it releases greenhouse gases like methane. Researchers at Lab to Farm explored circular solutions to turn this problem into an opportunity.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Bokashi fermentation: Anaerobic processing of citrus waste produces nutrient-rich soil amendments. This reduces the need for synthetic fertilizers and supports tree growth.
- Biochar pyrolysis: Thermal conversion of prunings creates stable carbon in the soil, improves water retention, and reduces irrigation needs.
- Both approaches reduce waste sent to landfills and contribute to climate mitigation.
- Circular thinking combines environmental responsibility with agricultural productivity.

Facilitation Tips:

- Highlight the flow from waste → processing → benefits using the graphic.
- Ask students to compare these methods with simply throwing waste in a landfill.
- Encourage discussion on other possible "circular" uses for agricultural byproducts.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"Considering these examples, how could other types of farm waste be turned into valuable resources? Let's explore more circular strategies in agriculture."*

Spoken Dialogue:

"Let's see what this looks like in real life. In California, citrus nurseries had a big waste problem—tons of prunings and residues piling up. Instead of sending them to landfill, researchers designed a circular system. They used Bokashi fermentation to turn the waste into nutrient-rich inputs for trees, and biochar to improve soil water retention and lock away carbon. The results were healthier trees, less waste, and fewer emissions. This case shows that circular thinking isn't just theory—it works in practice, and it benefits both farmers and the environment."

Slide 29: Optional Activity Corner (Think-Pair-Share): Dear Principal, Reimagining Waste

Objective: Students will apply their understanding of five food waste pathways to a school setting by drafting a persuasive letter to the principal. They will evaluate one pathway's benefits and challenges, propose a solution, and practice articulating their reasoning through both writing and a short pitch.

Introduction / Overview:

- In this activity, students will participate in a "Think-Pair-Share" group writing exercise. Each group will choose one waste-to-resource pathway—compost, Bokashi, digester, biochar, or insect bioconversion—and reimagine how it could be implemented at school.
- Using what they've learned, they'll write a short letter to the principal that highlights one key benefit, one challenge, and a practical solution.
- Students will then share their ideas with a partner, refine their arguments, and prepare a short class pitch.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- The five pathways available: Compost, Bokashi, Digester, Biochar, Insect Bioconversion
- A strong letter should include: one benefit, one challenge, and one proposed solution
- Benefits might focus on climate impact (avoided CO_2-eq), soil fertility, cost savings, or co-products
- Challenges may include space, cost, equipment, or maintenance
- Solutions should show problem-solving—how to overcome the challenge realistically at school
- Takeaway: leftovers are not "trash" but potential resources.

Facilitation Tips:

- Encourage students to be creative but realistic—remind them to link their idea to what would actually work at school
- Walk around during group writing to check for understanding and help groups balance their benefit-challenge-solution structure
- During the "pair" step, prompt quieter students with guiding questions like: "Why would your principal say yes to this?" or "What solution makes your idea realistic?"
- Keep mini-pitches concise—around 30 seconds per group—and emphasize clarity.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- After letters and pitches are shared, ask the class: *"If you were the principal, which pathway would convince you the most, and why?"*
- Close the activity by reinforcing the big idea: reimagining waste as a resource is not just theoretical—it's something students can advocate for in real life.
- Transition by saying: *"Now that we've practiced making the case for one pathway, let's look at how these strategies fit into a bigger campus or community system."*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Now we're going to do a quick activity called Dear Principal, Reimagining Waste. In groups, you'll pick one pathway—compost, Bokashi, digester, biochar, or insect bioconversion—and write a short letter to the principal. Your letter should explain one benefit of your pathway, one challenge it might face, and one solution to overcome that challenge. After writing, share your ideas with a partner, refine them into a brief thirty-second pitch, and then present to the class. Remember, the big idea is that leftovers aren't just trash—they can become resources—and this is your chance to make a real-world case for change."*

Slides 30 to 43: Optional Extended Learning: Bokashi Microbial Diversity

Objective: Students will understand how microbes transform organic waste into plant-available nutrients, and how scientists study Bokashi fermentation through nutrient measurements and microbial diversity metrics. They will learn to interpret scientific data, connect microbial processes to soil health, and evaluate why Bokashi is an effective sustainable fertilizer.

Slide 30: Why Microbes Matter in Fertilizer

Objective: Students will understand the role of microbes in nutrient cycling and how Bokashi uses microbes to enhance soil fertility naturally.

Introduction/Overview:

- Plants need nutrients to grow, but not all nutrients come directly from chemical fertilizers.
- Soil microbes play a critical role in recycling organic matter and making nutrients available to plants.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Chemical fertilizers: Provide nutrients immediately but can pollute soil and water.
- Microbes in soil: Break down organic waste, releasing nutrients in plant-usable forms.
- Bokashi fermentation: Combines waste recycling with microbial nutrient release, improving plant health naturally.
- Visual comparison: Healthy plant vs. stunted plant shows the difference microbial nutrient cycling can make.

Facilitation Tips:

- Highlight the difference between chemical nutrient supply and microbial recycling.
- Ask students to think about why a plant might grow taller with microbial support compared to just fertilizer.
- Encourage discussion on everyday examples of microbes helping the environment or agriculture.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"How might we use microbial processes like Bokashi in our own gardens or school projects?"*

Spoken Dialogue:

"Plants can't thrive on sunshine and water alone—they need nutrients. Traditionally, we've given them chemical fertilizers, which supply nutrients quickly but can pollute soil and water if overused. Microbes in soil provide a different, more natural solution. They recycle organic matter and release nutrients in forms that plants can absorb. Bokashi is one system that relies heavily on microbes. Through fermentation, microbes transform food waste into nutrient-rich material that helps plants grow. Think of microbes as the hidden workforce in soil: they take what we think of as waste and turn it into food for plants. If you compare two plants—one grown with active soil microbes and one without—you'd see a big difference. The microbe-supported plant grows taller, stronger, and healthier. That's why microbes matter."

Slide 31: Big Question: How Do Microbes Make Bokashi Effective?

Objective: Students will understand how microbial activity drives Bokashi's effectiveness and how ingredient choices influence soil microbiomes.

Introduction/Overview:

- Bokashi is a fertilizer made by fermenting organic waste with microbes.
- Bacteria and fungi break down this waste, releasing nutrients that plants can easily use.
- Scientists are exploring how different ingredients shape microbial communities and affect the results.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Bokashi process: Uses microbes to convert waste into nutrient-rich fertilizer.
- Microbial role: Bacteria and fungi decompose organic matter, making nutrients accessible to plants.
- Scientific interest: Different ingredient combinations can create different microbial communities, influencing Bokashi's effectiveness.
- Soil microbiome: Healthy diversity supports nutrient cycling, plant growth, and soil health.

Facilitation Tips:

- Use the soil microbiome as a hook—ask students what might live in healthy soil.
- Discuss why diversity in microbes might be beneficial for plants.
- Relate this to real-world applications: recycling food scraps into fertilizer for gardens or farms.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"Think about the food scraps in your home—how could microbes turn that into something that helps plants grow?"*

Spoken Dialogue:

"Let's dig deeper into that hidden workforce. Bokashi is essentially a fermentation process. Bacteria and fungi break down food waste, releasing nutrients that plants can actually use. But here's the interesting part: scientists are finding that the specific microbes present—and the recipe of ingredients used to make Bokashi—change the outcome. Different combinations of microbes can create very different communities, and that influences how effective Bokashi is. A healthy soil microbiome, with lots of different species, helps cycle nutrients, suppress disease, and improve soil structure. So the big question here is: how exactly do microbes make Bokashi work, and how does diversity in the microbial community influence the result?"

Slide 32: Big Question: How Do Microbes Make Bokashi Effective? (Abo-Sido et al.)

Objective: Students will learn how scientists study Bokashi to understand the relationship between recipes, nutrient content, and microbial diversity.

Introduction/Overview:

- Researchers wanted to see how different Bokashi recipes affect nutrient levels and the types of microbes present.
- By tracking changes over time, they could link microbial activity to fertilizer effectiveness.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Scientists tested multiple Bokashi recipes.
- Measured nutrients: ammonium (NH_4^+) and phosphate (PO_4^{3-}).

- Monitored microbial diversity as Bokashi matured.
- Observing both chemical changes and microbial shifts helps explain why some recipes work better than others.

Facilitation Tips:

- Ask students why it might matter which microbes are present in a fertilizer. Relate nutrient measurements to plant growth outcomes. Highlight that this is a real scientific method combining chemistry and biology.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"What do you think happens to nutrients and microbes as Bokashi ferments? How might this affect plant growth?"*

Spoken Dialogue:

"Researchers wanted to answer that question. Abo-Sido and colleagues designed experiments to test different Bokashi recipes. They measured nutrient levels—like ammonium and phosphate—and tracked microbial diversity as the Bokashi matured. By studying both the chemistry and the biology, they could connect the dots: which microbes were present, what nutrients were released, and how those changes influenced plant growth. This is real scientific method in action—combining biology, chemistry, and agriculture to figure out what makes Bokashi effective."

Slide 33: Measuring Nutrients and Microbial Diversity

Objective: Students will understand why scientists measure nutrients in Bokashi and how these measurements reveal microbial activity and fertilizer quality.

Introduction/Overview:

- Nutrients like ammonium and phosphate are essential for plant growth. By tracking these nutrients in Bokashi, scientists can see how microbes transform organic waste into plant-available forms.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Ammonium (NH_4^+): Builds proteins and chlorophyll → critical for healthy plant growth.
- Phosphate (PO_4^{3-}): Needed for energy (ATP) and root development.
- Nutrient ratio: Indicates how effectively microbes are transforming organic matter during fermentation.
- Measuring these nutrients over time shows the changes as Bokashi matures.

Facilitation Tips:

- Relate NH_4^+ and PO_4^{3-} to plant needs students are familiar with (green leaves, strong roots).
- Ask students why microbes might change nutrient availability over time.
- Use the nutrient ratio as a way to show chemical evidence of microbial activity.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"How do you think knowing nutrient changes can help farmers decide the best Bokashi recipe?"*

Spoken Dialogue:

"Let's start with nutrients. Plants need certain key nutrients to grow—two important ones are ammonium and phosphate. Ammonium helps plants build proteins and chlorophyll, which makes them green and healthy. Phosphate is critical for energy transfer—it's part of ATP—and for root development. By measuring how these nutrients change in Bokashi over time, scientists can see whether the microbes are making waste more plant-available. The ratio of ammonium to phosphate is a chemical signature of microbial activity. It tells us how well microbes are transforming food waste into something useful."

Slide 34: Measuring Nutrients and Microbial Diversity

Objective: Students will understand the importance of microbial diversity in Bokashi and how it contributes to fertilizer effectiveness.

Introduction/Overview:

- Microbes in Bokashi aren't all the same—different species perform different roles. Measuring the variety and balance of microbes helps scientists understand how Bokashi supports plant growth and nutrient cycling.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Microbial diversity: Variety and balance of bacteria and fungi in a community.
- High diversity = healthy system: Multiple microbes work together to recycle nutrients and support plants.
- Tracking changes: Shows how microbial communities shift as Bokashi matures.
- Microbial roles: Decomposers, nitrogen fixers, disease suppressors—different groups drive different benefits.

Facilitation Tips:

- Use analogies like a team where everyone has a role—more diverse teams are more effective.
- Ask students why diversity might make Bokashi more reliable than a single microbe type.
- Relate microbial diversity to ecosystem health more broadly (soil, compost, natural environments).

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"How might knowing which microbes are active help farmers make better Bokashi fertilizer?"*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"But nutrients only tell half the story. The other half is who's living in the Bokashi. Microbial diversity is the variety of bacteria and fungi working together. High diversity usually means a healthier system, because different microbes do different jobs: some decompose complex waste, some fix nitrogen, others suppress disease. By tracking microbial diversity as Bokashi matures, scientists can see whether the community is becoming more balanced and active. Think of it like a sports team—if you only have goalies or only have strikers, you won't do well. But with a full, balanced team, you're much more effective. Bokashi with diverse microbes tends to support better plant growth."*

Slide 35: Measurement Methods

Objective: Students will learn how scientists measure microbial diversity in Bokashi and what metrics reveal about microbial communities.

Introduction/Overview:

- Tracking which microbes are present in Bokashi helps explain how the fertilizer works. Scientists extract DNA from samples and analyze it to identify bacteria and fungi.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- DNA extraction: Used to identify bacteria (16S rRNA gene) and fungi (ITS region).
- Alpha-diversity (α-diversity): Measured with the Shannon Index; indicates variety and balance of species in a single sample.
- Beta-diversity (β-diversity): Measured with Bray–Curtis dissimilarity; shows differences between microbial communities across samples.
- These metrics reveal how microbial communities change as Bokashi matures and how different recipes affect diversity.

Facilitation Tips:

- Use analogies: alpha-diversity = variety in one garden; beta-diversity = comparing different gardens.
- Emphasize why knowing both diversity within and between samples matters for fertilizer effectiveness.
- Connect to real-world examples: healthy soil supports many plant types.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- "What do you think a high diversity community means for plant growth and Bokashi effectiveness?"

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"So how do scientists actually measure microbial diversity? They don't just look under a microscope—they use DNA. By extracting DNA from Bokashi samples, they can identify bacteria with 16S rRNA sequencing and fungi with ITS sequencing. From there, they calculate*

diversity in two ways. Alpha-diversity tells us how diverse one sample is—how many species and how evenly they're represented. Beta-diversity tells us how different two communities are—for example, Day 0 versus Day 12, or Recipe A versus Recipe B. Together, these measures give us a full picture of how microbial communities shift as Bokashi ferments."

Slide 36: α-diversity (Shannon Index)

Objective: Students will understand what α-diversity is, how the Shannon Index measures it, and why it matters for microbial communities in Bokashi.

Introduction/Overview:

- Alpha-diversity measures the variety within a single microbial community. The Shannon Index combines two aspects: richness (number of species) and evenness (how balanced they are).

Key Points to Emphasize:

- α-diversity: Diversity within one community.
- Shannon Index: Single number summarizing richness + evenness.
- Value range: 0 to ~3–5; higher = more diverse.
- Visualization: Typically shown as line graph or bar chart over time (x-axis = days, y-axis = Shannon Index).
- Tracking α-diversity shows how microbial community changes as Bokashi matures.

Facilitation Tips:

- Explain richness vs. evenness with simple analogies (e.g., variety of fruits and how evenly they appear in a basket).
- Point out the trend over time in a graph to show microbial growth and stabilization.
- Connect higher α-diversity to healthier soil and more effective Bokashi.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- "How might increasing α-diversity affect nutrient availability and plant growth in Bokashi?"

Spoken Dialogue:

"Let's zoom in on alpha-diversity. The Shannon Index is a common way to measure it. This index combines richness—the number of species—with evenness—how balanced those species are. The score ranges from 0 up to about 3–5, with higher values meaning more diversity. For Bokashi, tracking the Shannon Index over time shows how the community evolves as it ferments. At first, the diversity might be low, but as fermentation progresses, more species establish themselves, and the community becomes more balanced. In practical terms, higher alpha-diversity usually means the Bokashi will provide stronger benefits when applied to soil."

Slide 37: Why Use Both: Shannon Index & Bray-Curtis

Objective: Students will understand why combining α-diversity and β-diversity metrics provides a complete view of microbial community dynamics in Bokashi.

Introduction/Overview:

- Shannon Index (α-diversity) measures the complexity of a single microbial community. Bray–Curtis (β-diversity) compares differences between communities over time or across treatments. Using both together gives a full picture of microbial health and shifts.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Shannon Index (α-diversity): Indicates richness and evenness within one community → higher values = healthier, more stable community.
- Bray–Curtis (β-diversity): Shows differences between communities → useful for tracking changes over time (e.g., Day 0 vs. Day 12) or between recipes.
- Combined insight: Reveals both the diversity inside a community and how it shifts across time or treatments.

Facilitation Tips:

- Use an analogy:
  - Shannon = how diverse one garden is; Bray–Curtis = how different two gardens are.
- Show visual examples of graphs for both metrics if possible.

- Ask students why tracking both internal diversity and differences between communities is important for improving Bokashi.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- "How does understanding both types of diversity help scientists create more effective Bokashi fertilizers?"

Spoken Dialogue:

"Now, why do scientists use both alpha- and beta-diversity? Because they tell different parts of the story. Alpha-diversity, measured with the Shannon Index, shows how complex a single community is at one point in time. Beta-diversity, measured with Bray–Curtis, shows how different communities are from each other—whether across recipes or across time. By combining the two, researchers can see both the internal health of a single microbial community and how it shifts compared to others. For Bokashi, this matters because both the maturity stage and the recipe ingredients shape the microbial ecosystem, and those differences directly impact soil and plant outcomes."

Slide 38: Different Bokashi Recipes Tested

Objective: Students will learn how scientists test different Bokashi recipes to see how ingredient variations affect nutrient levels and microbial communities.

Introduction/Overview:

- Scientists compared several Bokashi recipes to understand how different ingredients and microbial starters influence the fermentation process, nutrient content, and microbial diversity.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Experiment 1: Control = rice hulls; Treatment = rice hulls burned into charcoal.
- Experiment 2: Control = rice hulls + yeast + molasses; Treatment = rice hulls + locally collected microbes (IMO) + molasses.
- Common base for all treatments: Cow manure, soil, and corn flour.
- Maturation & sampling: All piles matured for 12 days with samples collected at multiple time points.
- Comparing recipes helps scientists see how microbial communities and nutrient transformations differ.

Facilitation Tips:

- Use a table or diagram to clearly show the different recipes side by side.
- Ask students to predict which recipe might produce more nutrients or microbial diversity and why.
- Relate to home composting: how adding different ingredients could change results.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"What differences would you expect to see in nutrient levels and microbes between these recipes?"*

Spoken Dialogue:

"So, what did researchers actually test? They compared multiple Bokashi recipes. For example, one experiment used rice hulls as the base in the control, and rice hulls burned into charcoal in the treatment. Another compared recipes with yeast and molasses versus recipes with indigenous microbes and molasses. The base was the same—cow manure, soil, and corn flour—but the additions varied. Then, over 12 days, they sampled the Bokashi and measured both nutrients and microbial diversity. By comparing recipes side by side, they could see which combinations led to more active and beneficial microbial communities."

Slide 39: How To Read a Shannon Index Graph (Step 1)

Objective: Students will practice interpreting a scientific graph by identifying variables, understanding treatments, and connecting data to the use of Bokashi as a fermented organic fertilizer.

Introduction / Overview:

- This activity is optional and provides an extended learning opportunity for students who want to explore data analysis.

- Students will examine a graph showing soil microbial diversity over time under different treatments, including Bokashi.
- Emphasize that they are practicing real-world skills used in research: reading graphs and interpreting trends.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Identify variables:
  - Y-axis: Shannon Diversity (microbial diversity)
  - X-axis: Days (Xa, Xb) and nutrient measurements (Xc, Xd)
- The colors on the graph represent different treatments and the corresponding measurements.
- Bokashi is a fermented fertilizer derived from food or farm waste. Highlight how its application can influence soil microbial diversity.
- Encourage students to notice trends, increases or decreases, and compare treatments.

Facilitation Tips:

- Guide students to read axis titles and keys carefully; these are crucial for understanding the graph.
- Ask prompting questions: “Which treatment seems to have the highest microbial diversity?” or “How does diversity change over time?”
- Remind students that colors correspond to treatments and measurements; they should link patterns to the treatment effects.
- Allow students to discuss in pairs or small groups for deeper understanding.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- Ask: *“Why do you think Bokashi might affect microbial diversity differently than other treatments?”*
- Transition to the next activity by connecting graph interpretation to making informed decisions in sustainable agriculture.

Spoken Dialogue:

> *“Now let’s practice reading scientific data. Here’s a Shannon Index graph. The y-axis shows diversity, the x-axis shows time, and the colors represent different treatments. Step one is to identify the variables: what’s being measured, and over what time period. Notice how each treatment line goes up or down. Ask yourself: which treatment has the highest diversity? Which has the lowest? This is exactly how scientists analyze microbial communities. Reading graphs like this gives us real-world insight into which recipes and treatments make Bokashi more effective.”*

Slide 40: How To Read a Shannon Index Graph (Steps 2 to 3)

Objective: Students will analyze graph data to identify patterns in soil microbial diversity and compare the effects of different treatments, focusing on Bokashi and biochar.

Introduction / Overview:

- Continuing the extended learning activity, students now examine which treatments lead to the highest and lowest microbial diversity.
- They will also compare treatments to see how Bokashi and biochar influence soil health.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Step 2 – Identify extremes:
  - Highest microbial diversity: Bokashi + Biochar → most active microbial community
  - Lowest microbial diversity: Control (no treatment) → least microbial activity
- Step 3 – Compare treatments:
  - Bokashi alone outperforms chemical fertilizer in promoting microbial diversity.
  - Biochar improves activity, but Bokashi alone has an even stronger effect.
- Emphasize that Bokashi is rich in beneficial microbes, which boosts microbial biomass and soil health.

Facilitation Tips:

- Encourage students to point out trends on the graph themselves rather than giving answers immediately.

- Ask guiding questions: “Which bar or line shows the highest activity?” “How does adding biochar change Bokashi’s effect?”
- Highlight the connection between microbial diversity and practical outcomes like nutrient cycling and soil fertility.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- Ask: *“Based on this graph, how would you decide which soil amendment to use for a small farm or garden?”*
- Transition by linking to the next concept: *“Now that we’ve compared treatments, let’s explore why microbial diversity matters for plant growth and sustainability.”*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *“Step two is to identify the extremes. Which treatment shows the highest microbial diversity? Often, it’s the Bokashi with biochar or indigenous microbes. Which shows the lowest? Usually, the control with no added microbes. Step three is to compare treatments. Bokashi alone generally outperforms chemical fertilizer, and Bokashi plus biochar often outperforms Bokashi alone. The key takeaway is that Bokashi introduces beneficial microbes that boost diversity and soil health, and adding biochar can push that even further. Look closely at the graph—what trends do you notice?”*

Slide 41: How To Read a Shannon Index Graph (Step 4)

Objective: Students will interpret the meaning behind the graph data and connect microbial diversity to practical benefits of using Bokashi in agriculture.

Introduction / Overview:

- In this step, students move beyond identifying patterns to understanding why Bokashi impacts soil microbial diversity. The focus is on linking graph trends to real-world outcomes like plant growth and soil health.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Bokashi’s role: Adds lactic acid bacteria and nutrients, which stimulate soil microbial activity.
- Impact on soil: More microbial biomass = healthier soil, improved nutrient cycling, stronger plants.
- Practical benefits: Improves root growth and chlorophyll content Increases crop yield Reduces need for chemical fertilizers

Facilitation Tips:

- Encourage students to connect graph trends → microbial processes → plant outcomes.
- Ask questions like: “Why would more microbes lead to stronger plants?” or “How does reducing chemical fertilizer use benefit the environment?”
- Emphasize cause-and-effect thinking rather than just reading numbers.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- Prompt discussion: *“If you were managing a school garden, how could you use Bokashi based on these results?”*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *“Finally, step four: interpret the meaning. What does higher microbial diversity actually do for soil and plants? It means more microbial biomass, better nutrient cycling, and healthier plant growth. In real terms, that could mean stronger roots, greener leaves, and higher yields. It also means less reliance on chemical fertilizers, which reduces costs and environmental damage. So when you see a Shannon Index line going up, think: that’s not just numbers, that’s healthier soil and better crops. The graph is telling a story, and the story is that microbial diversity matters.”*

Slide 42: Interpreted Results for Microbial Diversity

Objective: Students will understand the key findings of microbial diversity analyses and how Bokashi recipes and maturation time affect microbial communities.

Introduction/Overview:

- Scientists tracked microbial diversity using Shannon Index (α-diversity) and Bray–Curtis (β-diversity) to see how communities changed during Bokashi maturation and across different recipes.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Shannon Index (α-diversity): Diversity increased as Bokashi matured.
- IMO and Biochar treatments had the highest diversity → most active and balanced microbes. Control had the lowest diversity → fewer microbes working together.
- Bray–Curtis (β-diversity): Early (Day 0) vs. late (Day 12) samples were very different → microbial communities reorganized during fermentation.
- Recipes overlapped somewhat → time influenced community shifts more than recipe.
- Takeaway: Maturation time plays a major role in microbial diversity, and certain recipes can enhance activity and balance.

Facilitation Tips:

- Use graphs to point out trends in α- and β-diversity over time.
- Ask students why maturation might affect microbial communities more than recipe.
- Discuss practical implications for optimizing Bokashi for nutrient availability.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"How could farmers or gardeners use this information to create more effective Bokashi fertilizer?"*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"So, what did the researchers find? The Shannon Index showed that diversity increased as Bokashi matured. The recipes with indigenous microbes or biochar had the highest diversity. The control had the lowest. The Bray–Curtis analysis showed that microbial communities changed a lot from Day 0 to Day 12—time was a bigger factor than recipe. The main takeaway is that both the recipe and the fermentation time matter. Maturation gives microbes time to reorganize into a more active and balanced community, and that makes Bokashi more effective."*

Slide 43: Optional Extended Learning Activity Corner: Bokashi Microbial Diversity – Group Analysis Activity

Objective: Students will practice interpreting Shannon Index graphs and connect microbial diversity to soil health and Bokashi effectiveness.

Introduction/Overview:

- This activity lets students read a graph of Shannon Index values across treatments and understand how microbial diversity influences soil health and fertility.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Identify which treatment has the highest and lowest diversity.
- Discuss the implications of microbial diversity for soil health.
- Predict how adding Bokashi might change the graph over time, improving diversity and nutrient cycling.
- Reinforces graph interpretation, scientific reasoning, and sustainable agriculture concepts.

Facilitation Tips:

- Encourage small group discussion to compare interpretations.
- Ask students to justify their predictions with reasoning about microbial activity.
- Relate the activity to real-world farming or gardening scenarios.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"Based on your predictions, how might farmers decide which Bokashi recipe to use to improve soil health?"*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Now it's your turn to practice. In small groups, you'll look at a Shannon Index graph and interpret it. Identify which treatment has the highest diversity, which has the lowest, and what that means for soil health. Predict how adding Bokashi might shift diversity over time. This activity is about thinking like a scientist—using data to make claims and justify them. Discuss your reasoning with your group, and then we'll share out. The big question to keep in mind is:*

how does microbial diversity translate into better soil and more sustainable farming?"

Slides 44 to 45: Review Questions and Answers

Objective: Students will consolidate their understanding of the five food waste valorization pathways by reviewing key concepts, identifying which methods most effectively reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and applying knowledge to select practical solutions under real-world constraints.

Slide 44: Review Questions

Objective: Students will reinforce their understanding of the five food waste pathways, compare climate impacts, and apply knowledge to practical scenarios.

Review Questions:

1. List the five major waste-valorization pathways we discussed in class.
    - Expected points: Landfill, aerobic composting, Bokashi fermentation, anaerobic digestion, and biochar pyrolysis.
    - These represent different strategies for managing food waste, each with unique benefits and trade-offs.
2. Which pathway avoids the most CO_2-e emissions per kilogram of food waste, and why?
    - Expected points: Biochar pyrolysis avoids the most CO_2-e emissions because it locks carbon into a stable form that can persist in soils for centuries.
    - This prevents decomposition-related greenhouse gases and provides long-term climate benefits.
3. Given a scenario where you must process food scraps quickly with minimal equipment, which pathway would you recommend and what trade-offs would you accept?
    - Expected points: Bokashi fermentation is the best choice because it works in about two weeks, requires only a sealed bucket and bran, and handles foods that are hard to compost.
    - Trade-offs include the need for airtight containers, regular bran additions, and a post-processing step (burying or composting the fermented mash).

Spoken Dialogue:

"Before we move into the next section, let's check in with some review questions. First, can you list the five major waste valorization pathways we've discussed? Remember: landfill, composting, Bokashi, anaerobic digestion, and biochar. Second, which pathway avoids the most CO_2-equivalent emissions per kilogram of food waste, and why? Hint: think about which one locks carbon away for centuries. And finally, imagine you have to process food scraps quickly with very little equipment—which pathway would you recommend, and what trade-offs would you have to accept? Take a moment to jot down your answers, then we'll walk through them together."

Slide 45: Review Answers

Objective: Students will confirm their understanding of the five major food waste pathways and the relative climate impacts and practical trade-offs of each.

Review Answers:

1. List the five major waste-valorization pathways we discussed in class.
    - Landfill, Aerobic composting, Anaerobic fermentation/digestion (Bokashi & digesters),
2. Which pathway avoids the most CO_2-e emissions per kilogram of food waste, and why?
    - Biochar pyrolysis, and Insect bioconversion Biochar pyrolysis (about –450 g CO_2-e/kg orange peel in the example) because it locks carbon into a stable form for centuries and displaces some fossil energy use.
3. Given a scenario where you must process food scraps quickly with minimal equipment, which pathway would you recommend and what trade-offs would you accept?
    - Insect bioconversion — fast and low-tech, produces animal feed; trade-offs include managing live larvae and needing warm conditions.

Spoken Dialogue:

“Here are the answers. The five pathways are landfill, composting, Bokashi and anaerobic digestion, biochar, and insect bioconversion. Of these, biochar avoids the most emissions—around minus 450 grams of CO_2-equivalent per kilogram of food waste—because it locks carbon into a stable form that stays in soil for centuries, and it can even displace fossil fuel use. For the fast and simple option, Bokashi is usually best. It works in about two weeks, requires only a sealed container and bran, and can handle a wide range of food scraps. The trade-offs? You need airtight containers, consistent additions of bran, and you still need a post-processing step like burying the mash or mixing it into compost. So each pathway has strengths, and the right choice depends on your situation.”

Lesson B: Carbon Math

Slides 46-56

Slide 46: What are the environmental (carbon) impacts of different waste management strategies, and how can we quantify and compare them?

Objective: Students will understand how different food waste management methods work and how each impacts climate change differently.

Introduction/Overview:

- "When we throw away food, it doesn't just disappear—where it ends up affects the environment. This slide compares different 'upcycling' methods, showing the trade-offs between speed, technology, and climate benefits."

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Compost: Low-tech, simple, but slower to break down. Good for soil health.
- Bokashi: Fast, can be done indoors, produces less odor than traditional compost.
- Biochar: Excellent for long-term carbon storage, can help reduce greenhouse gases.
- Insects: Convert waste into animal feed quickly, creating a circular resource loop.
- Each method addresses a different aspect of food waste—no single method is "perfect," so combining approaches can be effective.

Facilitation Tips:

- Ask students which method they might use at home and why.
- Use real-life examples if possible (school compost bin, backyard garden, local biochar projects).
- Emphasize trade-offs between speed, technology needs, and environmental impact.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- "Now that we've compared these methods, let's think about how to quantify their impact. Which method do you think would reduce the most carbon if adopted widely?

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"As we move into Lesson B, the focus shifts to carbon math. The big question is: how do we actually quantify the climate impact of each waste pathway? Each method has different emissions, costs, and outputs. To compare them, we need a consistent measurement system—CO_2-equivalent—that shows us not just emissions but avoided emissions too."*

Slide 47: Why Each Path Works

Objective: Students will understand how different food waste management paths affect greenhouse gas emissions and carbon storage using a simple example.

Introduction/Overview:

- "Let's look at 1 kilogram of orange peel as an example. By following it through different waste paths, we can see how each approach either adds to or reduces greenhouse gas emissions. These numbers are illustrative—they help us understand the math behind climate impact."

Key Points to Emphasize:

- ΔCO_2-eq = net change in emissions compared to landfill.
- Positive → adds pollution Negative → avoids or stores carbon Landfill (+500 g CO_2-eq): Methane from decomposition makes it the worst-case scenario.
- Compost (–100 g CO_2-eq): Aerobic process avoids methane, stores some carbon.
- Bokashi (–200 g CO_2-eq): Fermentation acids reduce GHGs and add carbon to soil.
- Biochar (–450 g CO_2-eq): Pyrolysis locks carbon long-term; syngas fuels the kiln.
- Larvae feed (–300 g CO_2-eq): Insects produce less GHGs and replace soy-based feed, contributing to circular food systems.

Facilitation Tips:

- Highlight that these figures are simplified examples for understanding the math.
- Encourage students to discuss why some methods reduce emissions more than others.
- Ask students to predict which method they would choose if they had to manage food waste at home.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- "Now that we've seen how each path changes emissions, we can start thinking about trade-offs and which combinations might make the biggest climate impact.

Spoken Dialogue:

"Each pathway has its own logic. Composting works because microbes use oxygen, so methane doesn't form. Bokashi works because fermentation locks nutrients into organic acids. Anaerobic digesters work by capturing the biogas and turning it into energy instead of letting it escape. Biochar works because it stabilizes carbon for centuries. Understanding why each path works helps us compare them fairly."

Slide 48: Every Path Has Trade-Offs

Objective: Students will understand how different waste management strategies compare in terms of greenhouse gas emissions and the factors that influence their climate impact.

Introduction/Overview:

- The slide shows a bullet chart explaining every pathway's trade off.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Landfill (red bar): Only pathway that increases emissions (+500 g CO_2-eq/kg).
- Avoiding landfill is critical.
- Bokashi → Soil (-200 g): Works for a wide range of food scraps and reduces emissions by recycling nutrients.
- Biochar (-450 g): Largest reduction; locks carbon long-term and improves soil water retention.

Facilitation Tips:

- Highlight the difference between emission reduction magnitude (deepest green = most climate benefit).
- Ask students why multiple factors (carbon storage, protein production, nutrient recycling) matter beyond just CO_2 numbers.
- Discuss practical trade-offs: cost, ease of setup, and applicability to different types of waste.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- "*Keep these pathways in mind because in the next slide we will be looking at the rest.*"

Spoken Dialogue:

"Of course, none of these are perfect. Composting takes time and space. Bokashi requires airtight containers and a second step. Digesters are costly and need steady feedstock. Biochar requires high heat and equipment. Insect bioconversion needs live larvae and good management. The point is: every system has trade-offs, and that's why context matters."

Slide 49: Every Path Has Trade-Offs (continued)

Objective: Students will understand how different waste management strategies compare in terms of greenhouse gas emissions and the factors that influence their climate impact.

Introduction/Overview:

- The slide shows a bullet chart explaining every pathway's trade off. Continued from previous.
- No single "perfect" pathway – each balances climate benefit, cost, speed, and usability differently. But together, they close the landfill loop!

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Larvae feed (-300 g): Produces usable protein while reducing emissions.
- Compost (-100 g): Simple and low-cost, but lower emissions benefit compared to biochar or larvae.
- Context matters: Some strategies add additional benefits (protein production, soil health) beyond emission reduction.

Facilitation Tips:

- Highlight the difference between emission reduction magnitude (deepest green = most climate benefit).
- Ask students why multiple factors (carbon storage, protein production, nutrient recycling) matter beyond just CO_2 numbers.
- Discuss practical trade-offs: cost, ease of setup, and applicability to different types of waste.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- "What combination of strategies might give the biggest overall benefit for climate, soil, and food security?"

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"When we compare these methods, we're not just asking 'which is best?' We're asking: best for who, where, and under what conditions? A school might choose Bokashi for simplicity. A city might invest in digesters for energy recovery. A farmer might use biochar for soil health. The right fit depends on balancing carbon savings with cost, practicality, and benefits."*

Slide 50: Which Path Shrinks Emissions Most? – Different Paths with Different Needs

Objective: Students will come to understand how in different waste management strategies context matters.

Introduction/Overview:

- All pathways have their advantages and disadvantages, however some pathways also provide an extra advantage or a net positive benefit aside from being negative in emissions.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Insects produce more usable protein than biochar.
- Bokashi works well for a wider range of food scraps.
- Compost is easiest to set up at low cost.
- Context matters: Some strategies add additional benefits (protein production, soil health) beyond emission reduction.

Facilitation Tips:

- Highlight the context of extra benefits from different pathways that may outweigh slightly the initial costs of the pathway.
- Ask students why multiple factors (carbon storage, protein production, nutrient recycling) matter beyond just CO_2 numbers.
- Discuss how these benefits might make certain pathways more useful or appealing.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- "What combination of strategies might give the biggest overall benefit for climate, soil, and food security?"

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Looking at carbon math, not all reductions are equal. Composting cuts emissions by about 80% compared to landfill. Bokashi and insect bioconversion go further. Biochar has the biggest climate impact, turning waste into a long-term carbon vault. But again—high impact doesn't always mean easiest to implement."*

Slide 51: Carbon Math: But How Do We Compare Accurately?

Objective: Help students understand how to calculate the change in greenhouse gas emissions using methane data and convert it into CO_2-equivalent using GWP.

Introduction / Overview:

- Explain that methane (CH_4) is the main greenhouse gas produced in landfills. Emphasize that CO_2-equivalent (CO_2-eq) is used to compare different gases' contributions to global warming. Today, we will do a step-by-step calculation showing how capturing methane reduces emissions.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- ΔCO_2-eq means change in CO_2-equivalent: Subtract the old value from the new. GWP (Global Warming Potential) allows us to compare methane to CO_2 over 100 years.
- Step 1: Know your values:
  - Old methane: 24.51 g
  - New methane: 6.1275 g CH_4 GWP_{100} = 27.2
- Step 2: Use the formula: $\Delta CO_2\text{-eq} = m_{gas} \times GWP_{gas}$
- Plug in values:
  - Old: 24.51 × 27.2 = 666.672 g CO_2-eq
  - New: 6.1275 × 27.2 = 166.668 g
- CO_2-eq Change: 166.668 − 666.672 = −500 g CO_2-eq
- A negative result means emissions decreased.

Facilitation Tips:

- Remind students that "Δ" always signals a change.

- Walk them slowly through each multiplication and subtraction step.
- Ask students why it's important to convert methane to CO_2-equivalent.
- If time allows, show a visual of a landfill before and after methane capture.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- Ask: *"Why does capturing methane have a big impact on global warming even if the total mass seems small?"*

Spoken Dialogue:

"To compare pathways, we use a common unit: ΔCO_2-eq. That means the change in emissions compared to landfill. Positive numbers mean more emissions; negative numbers mean avoided emissions. This lets us line up methods side by side and see which ones actually improve the climate picture."

Slide 52: Carbon Math: How to Use Δ CO_2-eq

Objective: Students will learn the step-by-step process to calculate and interpret Δ CO_2-eq for different food waste paths.

Introduction/Overview:

- *"Now that we know what Δ CO_2-eq means, let's see how to actually use it. This is a simple method to compare the climate impact of different strategies, starting from a baseline like a landfill."*

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Step 1: Start with a baseline—usually landfill.
- Step 2: Ask: How does the new path change emissions compared to landfill?
- Example with 1 kg orange peel:
  - Landfill = +500 g CO_2-eq → adds pollution
  - Compost = –100 g CO_2-eq → reduces emissions
  - Bokashi = –200 g CO_2-eq
  - Biochar = –450 g CO_2-eq
  - Larvae feed = –300 g CO_2-eq
- Interpretation: The more negative the number, the better it is for the climate.

Facilitation Tips:

- Use a visual chart so students can see the positive vs. negative Δ values clearly
- Encourage students to predict which method would be "best" before showing the numbers
- Relate numbers to real-world impact: small changes add up when scaled to tons of food waste.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"Next, we'll put this into practice with an activity—calculating Δ CO_2-eq for other types of food waste to see how different strategies stack up."*

Spoken Dialogue:

"Here's how it works. If landfill is +500 g CO_2-eq per kilogram, and composting is –100, then shifting one kilogram of waste saves 600 g CO_2-eq. That's the power of ΔCO_2-eq—it quantifies the benefit of switching from one pathway to another."

Slide 53: Carbon Math – Guided Calculation*

Objective: Students will understand the practical application of the mathematical concepts behind carbon emissions.

Introduction/Overview:

- There are steps to all mathematical models and many ways and practices to do it. Here we provide an understandable and practical way to solve this model to help teach the concept of carbon emissions.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Step 1: Know your values and givens.
  - Know your equation and your givens.
- Step 2: Plug in your values into the equation.
  - After you learn to recognize your equation values and givens you can confidently proceed to plug in your values into the equation and solve the problem.

- *We are using CH_4 (Methane) here in the example instead of CO2 (Carbon Dioxide), as CH_4 is the gas that is produced most in landfills!

Facilitation Tips:

- Encourage students to call out what is what in the equation and allow them to get comfortable with recognizing the variables.
- Highlight the variables and their definitions in this equation.
- Discuss how these variables relate to the givens later..

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- "*Next, we'll be using these steps with the givens*."

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Let's try it together. Suppose the cafeteria generates 50 kilograms of food waste per day. At landfill rates, that's 25 kilograms of CO_2-eq daily. At composting rates, it's –5 kilograms daily. That's a swing of 30 kilograms per day. Multiply by weeks and months, and small shifts make a massive difference."*

Slide 54: Carbon Math – Guided Calculation

Objective: Students will understand the practical application of the mathematical concepts behind carbon emissions.

Introduction/Overview:

- This slide puts the concept we covered in the previous slide in practice.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Step 1: Know your values and givens.
  - Identify the given values; right side of the slide in step 1.
- Step 2: Plug in your values into the equation.
  - After that, proceed to plug in your values into the equation and solve the problem.
  - Show that the numbers were input into the equation and is show in step 2 in that same bow.
  - Take note that the equation has multiple steps due to the delta (Δ) in the equation. Which denotes that the variable is a difference between the old and new values.

Facilitation Tips:

- Encourage students to call out what is what in the equation and allow them to get comfortable with recognizing the variables.
- Highlight the variables and their definitions in this equation.
- Discuss how these variables relate to the givens later.
- Ensure to let your students know what Δ means in an equation and how that might add onto what may seem to be a linear and simple equation.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- This section covers math that might be difficult for some in your class. Allow your students to let you know how they are following so far by asking, "*How did you feel about practicing this equation?*."

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"We can also calculate for Bokashi or biochar. Bokashi at –200 g/kg means 10 kilograms avoided per day. Biochar at –450 g/kg means 22.5 kilograms avoided per day. You can see clearly that biochar has the biggest potential, but all three are big improvements over landfill."*

Slide 55: Let's look at an Impact: Campus Scenario

Objective: Students will see how multiple waste strategies can work together in a real-world setting to drastically reduce landfill contributions.

Introduction/Overview:

- The diagram shows a school generating 50 kg of lunch scraps per day. The flow arrows show waste diverted: 30 kg to compost, 10 kg to Bokashi plus larvae, 5 kg woody waste to biochar, and fryer oil to biodiesel. The result: 95% diversion from landfill. The visual makes the loop easy to follow.
- Introduce with: "If most of our waste can be diverted away from landfills we can find a reduction of carbon emissions. We have looked at many different ways to divert it. Let's break it down."

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Breakdown of waste management: 30 kg → Compost for garden 10 kg → Bokashi, then Larvae feed 5 kg woody waste → Biochar for raised beds Fryer oil → Biodiesel cooperative
- Impact: 95% of waste diverted from landfill.
- Cafeteria scraps become power, protein, and plant food.
- Interactive Checkpoint (True/False):
    - Statement: “Biochar production always increases emissions because you burn stuff.”
    - Answer: Trick question! If the kiln reuses syngas for heat, net emissions actually drop.

Facilitation Tips:

- Emphasize the importance of energy recovery in determining net emissions.
- Invite students to discuss other examples where multiple waste strategies could be combined.
- Use this scenario to reinforce how math and strategy together create measurable environmental impact.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- “Next, we’ll explore how students can apply these concepts in their own schools or homes—putting carbon math and the upcycling toolkit into practice.”

Spoken Dialogue:

> *“Now picture this: we map waste across campus. The cafeteria is the biggest hotspot. If all of that waste goes to landfill, emissions soar. But if we reroute it through Bokashi, insect bioconversion, or biochar, the numbers change dramatically. Data shows us where interventions make the biggest impact.”*

Slide 56: Case Study: Turning Campus Waste into Plant Power

Objective: Students will learn how campus organic waste can be transformed into nutrient-rich amendments that improve plant growth while reducing fertilizer use.

Introduction/Overview:

- “This case study shows how combining bokashi and biochar made from campus waste can actually make plants healthier while cutting down on synthetic fertilizer use.”

Key Points to Emphasize:

- 10% Bokashi + 10% Biochar: Reduced fertilizer use by half while increasing citrus seedling height and germination rates.
- Bokashi: Provides quick nutrients and beneficial microbes to the soil.
- Biochar: Improves water retention, nutrient holding capacity, and soil structure.
- Both amendments come from campus organic waste streams, turning waste into a valuable resource.
- Reference: Lavagi et al., Sustainability (2024) – demonstrates real research backing this approach.

Facilitation Tips:

- Highlight the visual progression: Campus waste → Bokashi & Biochar → Greenhouse soil → Bigger, healthier plants.
- Ask students why reducing fertilizer is both economically and environmentally beneficial.
- Emphasize that this is a real example from UC Riverside, showing practical application of the upcycling toolkit.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- “Next, we’ll explore how students can design their own waste-to-resource strategies at school or home, applying what we’ve learned about carbon math and upcycling.”

Spoken Dialogue:

> *“In one pilot, students diverted cafeteria scraps into Bokashi bins. The fermented mash was used in a campus garden, boosting soil fertility and cutting fertilizer costs. At the same time, they measured avoided CO_2-eq compared to landfill. The result: stronger plants, lower emissions, and a visible example of circular economy in action.”*

Slide 57: Optional Activity Corner (Think-Pair-Share): Toolkit Trade-offs Discussion

Objective: Students will apply what they’ve learned about different waste pathways and their trade-offs to a practical, real-world scenario.

Introduction/Overview:

- "Now it's your turn to think like a campus sustainability planner. You'll use the toolkit to compare pathways, discuss trade-offs, and decide which approach works best for a school cafeteria waste stream."

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Work in pairs or small groups.
- Fill in 1–2 points per cell in the table based on what you've learned.
- Use realistic examples from the slides: compost, bokashi, biochar, insect feed.
- Decide which pathway you'd choose for a cafeteria scenario.
- Be ready to defend your choice using at least two criteria (e.g., climate benefit, cost, speed, usability).

Facilitation Tips:

- Encourage students to explain their reasoning aloud to their partner first, then to the group.
- Walk around and prompt with guiding questions: "Which pathway would divert the most waste from landfill?" "Which is easiest to implement here?" "Which provides the most co-benefits?"
- Use a diagram or image showing the different waste pathways to help students visualize their options.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- "After the discussion, we'll share some group choices and talk about why different strategies might work better in different contexts, reinforcing the idea that trade-offs are key to sustainable waste management."

Spoken Dialogue:

"This brings us back to trade-offs. Which toolkit is best? Compost, Bokashi, digestion, biochar, insects? The answer isn't one—it's a mix. The best systems combine methods, matching waste types to the right pathway. That way, every scrap finds its place in a circular loop."

Slides 58 to 59: Review Questions and Answers

Objective: Students will deepen their understanding of greenhouse gas accounting in waste management by interpreting ΔCO_2-eq values, ranking pathways by climate impact, and evaluating trade-offs such as cost versus long-term benefits. They will strengthen their ability to apply scientific data to real-world sustainability decisions.

Slide 58: Review Questions

Objective: Students will review and reinforce key concepts about Δ CO_2-eq, climate-friendly waste pathways, and trade-offs in waste management strategies.

Review Questions:

1. What does a negative ΔCO_2-eq value mean when comparing waste-treatment pathways?
    - Expected points: A negative value means the pathway prevents emissions overall — either by avoiding methane release (compared to landfill) or by storing carbon (like biochar) or displacing fossil fuels (like anaerobic digestion).
    - In other words, the process is climate-beneficial because it reduces more greenhouse gases than it produces.
2. Order the following pathways from most to least climate-friendly: landfill, biochar, insect bioconversion, compost, Bokashi.
    - Expected points: Biochar (most climate-friendly, –450 g CO_2-eq/kg) → Bokashi (–200 g/kg) → Insect bioconversion (–300 g/kg, often ranked with or just better than Bokashi depending on system) → Compost (–100 g/kg) → Landfill (worst, ~+500 g/kg).
    - Ordering should reflect highest negative (most avoided emissions) to most positive (highest emissions).
3. If a digester has higher upfront costs but produces renewable energy, in what situations might it still be the best choice?
    - Expected points: When there is access to large, consistent waste streams (farms, cities, food processors); when renewable energy is valued or subsidized; when long-term economic and environmental benefits outweigh upfront costs; when capturing energy plus producing fertilizer creates multiple value streams.

Spoken Dialogue:

"Time for a check-in. Question one: what does a negative ΔCO_2-eq value mean when

comparing waste pathways? Question two: order these from most to least climate-friendly—landfill, biochar, insect bioconversion, compost, Bokashi. And question three: digesters cost more upfront but produce renewable energy—when might that be the smartest choice?"

Slide 59: Review Answers

Objective: Students will confirm and solidify their understanding of Δ CO_2-eq, the climate impact of different pathways, and trade-offs in waste management choices.

Review Answers:

1. What does a negative ΔCO_2-eq value mean when comparing waste-treatment pathways?
    - It means the process prevents or offsets more greenhouse gases than it emits (a climate benefit).
2. Order the following pathways from most to least climate-friendly: landfill, biochar, insect bioconversion, compost, Bokashi.
    - Biochar (most climate-friendly) → Insect bioconversion → Bokashi/Digester → Compost → Landfill (least climate-friendly).
3. If a digester has higher upfront costs but produces renewable energy, in what situations might it still be the best choice?
    - When long-term operation offsets costs via renewable energy production (biogas) and nutrient-rich digestate, especially in communities with year-round waste streams.

Spoken Dialogue:

"Here's the recap. A negative ΔCO_2-eq means the pathway avoids more emissions than it produces—a net climate benefit. Ranked most to least climate-friendly: biochar, insect bioconversion, Bokashi, compost, landfill. And digesters? They're best when you have steady waste streams, long-term energy needs, and the ability to recoup costs through renewable energy and co-products. That's how carbon math guides smarter decisions."

Lesson C: Measuring Waste & Calculating CO_2 Impact

Slides 60-77

Slide 60: How can implementing multiple waste-valorization methods together create a more sustainable, closed-loop campus or community?

Objective: Students will identify waste "hotspots" on campus, calculate their carbon impact, and design simple circular systems to keep resources in use.

Introduction/Overview:

- *"In this final part of the lesson, we're going to take what we've learned and apply it directly to our own school. We'll ask: Where is waste escaping the system? How much carbon impact does it have? And what can we do to close the loop?"*

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Lesson C – Campus Waste Hotspots: Find waste escape points — where resources are being lost to landfill.
- Estimate carbon impact — use Δ CO_2-eq to compare current vs. improved pathways.
- Design solutions — simple, local strategies that work for your school (e.g., compost bins, bokashi, biochar pilot projects).
- Circular systems turn "waste" into power, protein, fertilizer, or soil amendments. The goal is to design for both climate benefit and practicality.

Facilitation Tips:

- Encourage students to walk through real areas of the school mentally (cafeteria, snack bars, staff lounges) to identify potential waste streams.
- Prompt them to think about which upcycling methods would fit each hotspot.
- Have students connect their proposed solutions to actual data they've calculated during the lesson.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"Next, you'll start mapping your own school's waste hotspots and brainstorming solutions that use our upcycling toolkit—bringing the circular economy to life right here on campus."*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"As we wrap up Lesson B and move into Lesson C, I want you to think bigger. We've compared each pathway on its own—landfill, compost, Bokashi, digestion, biochar, insects. But in reality, no single method handles every type of waste perfectly. A school, a farm, or a city will often need to combine approaches. For example, compost might handle yard waste, Bokashi might handle cooked food, and biochar might manage prunings or shells. Together, these create a closed-loop system where nothing goes to waste. So the guiding question is: how can implementing multiple waste-valorization methods together make a campus or community more sustainable? Keep that in mind as we move into the math and measurement part."*

Slides 61 to 65: Why Measure Waste?

Objective: Students will learn how to measure, estimate, and calculate the environmental impact of school waste. By moving from volume estimates to mass, weekly totals, and CO_2-equivalent values, they will understand how data reveals waste patterns, guides solution design, and connects everyday waste to climate change impacts.

Slide 61: Why should we care about the data and how do we calculate it?

Objective: Explain why waste measurement is essential for effective circular system design and how data guides solution choices.

Introduction/Overview:

- When tackling waste in schools or communities, it's not enough to just "do something" — we need to know where the waste is coming from, how much there is, and what kind it is. Measuring waste gives us a clear picture of the problem so we can choose the best solution instead of guessing.
- Key Points to Emphasize:

- Data reveals waste hotspots — the areas or processes causing the biggest problems. Quantifying waste helps compare and prioritize solutions.
- Without measurements, we risk wasting time and resources on less effective actions.
- Measuring isn't just for scientists — students can collect and use this data to make change.

Facilitation Tips:

- Ask students: If you were in charge of fixing the waste problem in the cafeteria, what information would you need before making a plan?
- Share a quick example: e.g., "If the trash weighs 100 pounds but 60% is compostable food scraps, what solution might you try first?"
- Emphasize that measuring waste is like diagnosing a patient — you don't treat without knowing what's wrong.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"Now that we see why measurement matters, let's look at how we can collect this data and use it to calculate the carbon footprint of our waste."*

Spoken Dialogue:

"When it comes to solving waste problems, it's not enough to say, 'let's do something.' We need to know exactly what's happening. That means asking: where is the waste coming from? How much is being produced? What kind is it? Without this information, we risk putting effort into solutions that don't really make a difference. Measuring waste is like diagnosing a patient—you wouldn't prescribe medicine without knowing the illness. The same goes for waste management: we need data before we can design smart systems. So today, we're going to learn how to measure waste and then connect those measurements to CO_2-equivalent impacts. This is the math that makes sustainability measurable and real."

Slide 62: Step 1: Estimating Waste Volumes

Objective: Introduce students to practical methods for estimating how much waste is generated in a school setting.

Introduction/Overview:

- Today we're looking at the first step in tackling food waste — figuring out how much we have. Without a realistic estimate, any solution we design is built on shaky ground. We'll explore simple ways to measure waste without fancy equipment.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Estimating waste is about getting enough accuracy to guide decisions, not perfection.
- Visual estimation works by comparing to something familiar — like a standard trash bin or a milk crate.
- Counting bins over a set period gives you a volume estimate without having to handle the waste.
- Weighing a sample bin can give you a reliable average weight to apply to others.
- Frequency of bin emptying can reveal patterns — daily empties might mean high generation, weekly might be lower.
- Each method has trade-offs in accuracy, time, and effort.

Facilitation Tips:

- Ask students to guess how much food waste their cafeteria produces daily before explaining the methods — this primes curiosity.
- If possible, bring in a visual aid like a standard cafeteria bin to show "visual estimation" in action.
- Encourage students to think about which method their school could realistically use.
- For a quick activity, have students estimate the volume of a classroom trash can and compare their guesses.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"Now that we know how to estimate waste amounts, the next step is to figure out what's actually in that waste. Because numbers alone don't tell the full story — the type of waste matters just as much as the quantity."*

Spoken Dialogue:

"The first step is figuring out how much waste you actually have. We don't need perfection; we

need enough accuracy to make smart choices. One way is visual estimation: compare your waste to something familiar, like a standard trash bin or a milk crate. Another way is to count how many bins are filled over a week—that gives you a volume estimate without touching the waste. For more precision, you can weigh one bin to get an average, then apply that across all bins. Even the frequency of emptying bins is data—if they're emptied daily, you know the waste load is high; if weekly, it's lower. Let's try a quick thought experiment: picture your cafeteria's trash bins. How many do you think are filled every day? That's the start of volume estimation."

Slide 63: Step 2: Converting Volume to Mass

Objective: Teach students how to translate waste volume measurements into mass using density as a conversion factor.

Introduction/Overview:

- Explain that waste is often measured in volume (how much space it takes up), but solutions often require mass (weight) to compare against disposal costs, hauling limits, or composting capacity. This step bridges the two by applying density.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Volume vs. mass:
  - Volume is space; mass is weight.
  - Density (kg/L) tells us how heavy something is for its size.
- Shortcut method: weigh one bin and use it as the "average" for similar bins.
- Example calculation:
  - Bin volume: 50 L Density of food waste: 0.4 kg/L Mass = 50 × 0.4 = 20 kg
- This method saves time but is still reasonably accurate if waste type is consistent.

Facilitation Tips:

- Bring a real bin (or show a picture) to make the math relatable.
- Ask students if a bin full of leaves would weigh more or less than one full of wet food scraps — connect to density.
- If possible, have a small digital scale and a bucket in class for a quick demo.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"Now that we can estimate the mass of our waste, the next step is figuring out exactly what types of waste we're dealing with — because the solution for food waste is very different from the solution for cardboard."*

Spoken Dialogue:

"Volume tells us how much space waste takes up, but most solutions require knowing mass—how heavy it is. Why? Because disposal costs, hauling limits, and composting capacity are calculated by weight. The bridge between volume and mass is density. If we know food waste averages about 0.4 kilograms per liter, and a bin holds 50 liters, then mass = 50 × 0.4 = 20 kilograms. That's one bin's worth. To make this practical, we often just weigh one sample bin, and then apply that average to all the bins. Let me ask you: which would weigh more—a bin of dry leaves or a bin of wet pasta? Exactly. That's density in action."

Slide 64: Step 3: Calculating Weekly Totals

Objective: Show how to turn per-bin waste measurements into total weekly waste amounts for better tracking and analysis.

Overview of Content:

- Once you know the mass per bin, multiplying by how often it's emptied gives you your weekly waste total.
- This figure is key for identifying trends, setting goals, and evaluating waste reduction strategies.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Technical Explanation Formula:
  - Weekly Waste (kg) = Mass per bin (kg) × empties per week
  - Example: Mass per bin = 20 kg Emptied 3×/week
  - Weekly total = 20×3=60 kg/week Student

Engagement / Discussion Prompt:

- *"If a bin is emptied 5 times a week instead, how much waste would that be per week?"*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Once we know the mass of a single bin, we can scale up. If one bin is 20 kilograms and it's emptied three times a week, that's 60 kilograms per week. That weekly number is incredibly useful: it shows us trends, helps set reduction goals, and allows us to compare strategies over time. Imagine two cafeterias: one generates 60 kilograms per week, another generates 200 kilograms. Where would you focus first if you wanted to reduce emissions? Right—the bigger hotspot. This is where math helps us target action."*

Slide 65: Step 4: From Waste to CO_2-equivalent

Objective: Help students understand how to convert waste mass into greenhouse gas emissions using CO_2-equivalent factors.

Introduction/Overview:

- Explain that not all waste impacts the environment equally—different materials release different amounts of greenhouse gases when they break down or are processed.
- Introduce the idea of CO_2-equivalent (CO_2-e), which is a standard way to compare the climate impact of different greenhouse gases by expressing them all in terms of the equivalent amount of CO_2.
- Highlight that this calculation is useful for tracking and reducing an organization's carbon footprint.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Formula: CO_2-e = Mass of waste (kg) × Emission factor (kg CO_2-e/kg waste).
- Different waste types have different emission factors because of how they decompose or are processed:
  - Food waste → 1.9 kg CO_2-e/kg
  - Paper waste → 1.5 kg CO_2-e/kg
  - Yard waste → 0.6 kg CO_2-e/kg
- Understanding these differences helps prioritize which waste streams to target for reduction.
- Even small changes in waste management can add up to significant emissions savings over time.

Facilitation Tips:

- Give a quick real-life example: "If a school produces 50 kg of food waste in a week, the CO_2-e is 50 × 1.9 = 95 kg CO_2-e—about the same as driving a car for 240 miles."
- Ask students which waste type they think is most harmful for climate change and why.
- If time allows, have students calculate CO_2-e for a sample dataset.
- Emphasize that emission factors can vary by location, waste treatment method, and source.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"Now that we know how to calculate the emissions from our waste, the next step is figuring out how to reduce these numbers—let's explore strategies for waste reduction and smarter disposal."*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Finally, we connect waste to climate impact. Not all waste has the same footprint. Food scraps, paper, and yard waste decompose differently and release different amounts of greenhouse gases. That's why we use CO_2-equivalent, or CO_2-e, as a standard unit to compare everything. The formula is simple: CO_2-e = mass × emission factor. For example, food waste has an emission factor of 1.9 kg CO_2-e per kilogram. So 50 kilograms of food waste equals 95 kilograms of CO_2-e. That's the same as driving about 240 miles in a car. This calculation helps us see how even small changes in waste management can lead to big climate benefits. And once we know the numbers, we can decide: where should we focus to make the biggest impact?"*

Slide 66: Case Study: Dorm Kitchen Food Waste — Meet Juan and Katie

Objective: Show students a real-world example of food waste generation in a dorm setting and introduce the hands-on measurement activity.

Introduction / Overview:

- Introduce the scenario: Juan and Anna live in a dorm and generate food waste daily.
- Explain that we will measure the amount of food waste they produce to understand how small actions add up.
- Connect to previous slide: This links to calculating CO_2-eq from methane emissions in landfills.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Measuring food waste gives insight into how individual habits affect greenhouse gas emissions.
- Even small amounts of food waste can create significant methane if sent to landfills.
- Data from students' own experiences (or case studies) makes the calculation meaningful.

Facilitation Tips:

- Encourage students to visualize or estimate how much food they throw away themselves.
- Make it interactive: ask, "How much do you think Juan and Anna throw away in a week?"
- Use a scale or visual aid if possible to show the weight of typical food waste.
- Highlight that this is a first step before calculating environmental impact.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- Ask: *"How could we reduce the food waste Juan and Anna produce?"*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Let's ground all of our measurement skills in a real story. Meet Juan and Katie, who share a small dorm kitchen. Like most students, they cook some meals, save leftovers, and toss scraps. Today we'll use their kitchen as a mini-lab: we'll measure their food waste, see how quickly it adds up, and connect it to CO_2-equivalent so we can talk about climate impact with numbers, not guesses. Before we jump into the math, picture the bin in their kitchenette: what fills it in a typical week — wilted greens, coffee grounds, leftover rice? Hold that mental picture. In a moment, you'll estimate the weekly amount, then we'll scale it to a year and translate it into emissions. This case links directly to what we covered on landfill methane and avoided emissions — now we'll quantify it for one ordinary kitchen."*

Slide 67: Case Study: Dorm Kitchen Food Waste Step-by – Step Solution

Objective: Help students apply the waste measurement formula to a real-life scenario and understand how to calculate environmental impact from weekly measurements.

Introduction/Overview:

- In this example, we're looking at food waste from a dorm kitchen and turning simple measurements—like how often the bin is emptied—into meaningful numbers about annual waste and greenhouse gas emissions.
- This connects the math we've been learning to environmental consequences.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Start with volume and density: A 25 L bin × 0.4 kg/L gives 10 kg of waste per bin.
- Frequency matters: If it's emptied 2 times per week, that's 20 kg/week.
- Emission factors: For each kilogram of food waste, 1.9 kg CO_2-e is released over its lifecycle.
- Annual impact: Weekly emissions × 52 weeks ≈ yearly emissions (~2 tonnes CO_2-e).
- Realize that 2 tonnes is a lot—equivalent to the emissions from driving a car thousands of kilometers.

Facilitation Tips:

- Ask students what kinds of waste might fill up the bin (e.g., leftovers, spoiled produce).
- Before revealing the answers, have them work in pairs to do the math.
- Reinforce unit conversions (L to kg, kg/week to kg/year).
- Show the step-by-step on the board so they can follow the logic.
- Encourage them to double-check their numbers—good practice for real-world applications.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"Now that we've calculated the yearly impact for just one dorm kitchen, imagine scaling this up to all kitchens on campus—or all schools in a city.*

Spoken Dialogue:

"Let's work the numbers together and show our steps so everyone can follow. If the bin is 25 liters and we use a typical density for mixed food scraps of 0.4 kilograms per liter, that comes out to about 10 kilograms per full bin. Since Juan and Katie empty that bin twice per week, that adds up to roughly 20 kilograms of food waste each week. Using a food-waste emission factor of 1.9 kilograms of CO_2-equivalent per kilogram of waste, that translates into about 38 kilograms of CO_2-e every week if their scraps were landfilled. Over the course of a year, multiplying by 52 weeks, this amounts to nearly 1,976 kilograms of CO_2-e—almost two tonnes. That's the climate footprint of just one small dorm kitchen bin! As we calculate, be sure to double-check units as we convert liters into kilograms and weeks into years, and compare your results with your partner. Notice how these simple measurements—bin size and emptying frequency—quickly become a clear picture of both waste mass and emissions."

Slide 68: Case Study – Dorm Kitchen Food Waste (Interpreting the Result)

Objective: Help students understand the real-world significance of CO_2-e calculations by connecting numbers to tangible impacts.

Introduction/Overview:

- *"Now that we've calculated weekly and yearly CO_2-e for one dorm kitchen bin, let's interpret what it actually means. Numbers are powerful, but putting them in context helps students grasp their significance."*

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Weekly waste: 20 kg → 38 kg CO_2-e. Annual waste: 1,976 kg CO_2-e (~2 tonnes).
- Real-world comparison: 2 tonnes of CO_2-e is roughly equivalent to driving a car ~5,000 miles.
- Even a single, small kitchen bin can contribute a significant carbon footprint over a year.
- Reinforce that understanding these impacts is the first step toward designing solutions that reduce emissions.

Facilitation Tips:

- Show the visual: a dorm kitchen photo next to a car icon to make the comparison tangible.
- Ask students: "What changes could reduce this number? Composting? Bokashi? Reducing leftover waste?"
- Encourage students to brainstorm small actions that collectively make a big difference.
- Highlight the "scaling effect": multiple kitchens, cafeterias, or schools multiply these emissions quickly.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"Next, we'll explore strategies for reducing dorm kitchen food waste and see how circular systems can turn it into resources rather than emissions."*

Spoken Dialogue:

"Now, let's make the numbers mean something. A weekly 20 kg of scraps equals ~38 kg CO_2-e/week; across a year, that's ~1,976 kg CO_2-e (~2 t). To visualize it, that's like driving a car ~5,000 miles. The takeaway is not 'shame the dorm kitchen' — it's that even small, everyday bins add up to a significant footprint. This is why measurement matters: when we see the scale, we can design better solutions. So, what could reduce that number most quickly in a dorm? Composting? Bokashi buckets? Insect bioconversion? Jot one idea you'd try first, and one constraint you'd have to manage (space, smell, time, buy-in)."

Slide 69: Optional Activity Corner (Think-Pair-Share): Mini Waste-to-Resource Pilot

Objective: Students will apply what they've learned to design a small-scale, practical solution for diverting cafeteria waste and estimating its climate impact.

Introduction/Overview:

- This activity challenges students to think like sustainability planners.
- They will pick a single type of cafeteria waste, design a mini waste-to-resource plan, and estimate how much CO_2 they could save.
- It's hands-on and encourages problem-solving and teamwork.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Pick one cafeteria waste item (e.g., banana peels, leftover fries).

- Decide which valorization method is most suitable (compost, bokashi, insect larvae, biochar, etc.).
- Assign responsibility—who manages the process. Estimate CO_2 reduction using the formulas learned.
- Write a brief justification (2 sentences) explaining why this method works best.
- Bring the mini blueprint to the next lab to refine and share with peers.

Facilitation Tips:

- Provide visuals to support understanding: Floor map with colored sticky notes for hotspots. Icons for food, yard, and paper waste.
- Sample calculation box showing CO_2 reduction.
- "Before/after" sketch to visualize the solution. Optional "thermometer-style" chart showing waste intensity.
- Encourage collaboration and discussion: students should justify their choice using both carbon impact and practicality.
- Walk around to prompt groups with questions like: "How much CO_2 could this save if scaled to the whole cafeteria?"

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"After designing your mini-pilot, we'll compare ideas and discuss which strategies could be realistically implemented on a larger scale—connecting our calculations to real-world change."*

Spoken Dialogue:

"Time to design a mini pilot. Choose one cafeteria waste item—maybe banana peels, coffee grounds, or leftover fries—and match it to the best-fit pathway such as compost, Bokashi, insects, biochar, or a digester. As a group, sketch out a simple one-page blueprint that explains who would collect and manage the waste, where it would go and what equipment or space would be needed, and what change in CO_2-equivalent you'd expect, supported with a quick calculation. Think about the co-products you'd generate, whether that's compost, Bokashi mash or tea, larvae and frass, or biochar. Then add a short two-sentence justification that explains why this option makes sense in terms of both carbon savings and practical considerations. Use a floor map or sticky notes to mark out hotspots, and include a simple before-and-after sketch or even a tiny CO_2 thermometer to show the impact. When everyone is finished, we'll compare pilots and talk about which ideas could realistically scale up to a school-wide system."

Slides 70 to 71: Review Questions and Answers

Objective: Students will integrate their knowledge of campus waste mapping, CO_2-e emission calculations, and valorization strategies by applying data to identify hotspots, evaluate the impact of insect bioconversion, and explain why combining multiple methods creates more resilient and effective waste management systems.

Slide 70: Review Questions

Objective: Check students' understanding of campus waste mapping, CO_2-e calculations, and the benefits of different waste-valorization strategies.

Review Questions:

1. Which location on campus produces the highest CO_2-e emissions from food waste, and how do you know?
    - Expected points: The cafeteria (or dining hall) is usually the highest emitter because it generates the largest volume of food scraps daily.
    - Evidence comes from waste measurement data (volume/mass × emission factor) showing it contributes the largest share of CO_2-e compared to other campus locations.
2. If you implemented insect bioconversion at your largest hotspot, what would happen to CO_2-e emissions and what co-products would you generate?
    - Expected points: CO_2-e emissions would drop significantly (around –300 g CO_2-e/kg of food waste avoided).
    - Co-products include larvae (used as animal feed, replacing soy/fishmeal) and frass (a nutrient-rich fertilizer).
    - This reduces emissions and creates useful resources.
3. Why might using multiple waste-valorization methods together be more effective than relying on a single solution?

- Expected points: Different methods handle different waste types best (e.g., Bokashi for cooked food, compost for plant scraps, biochar for yard waste).
- Combining methods maximizes resource recovery, minimizes emissions, and builds resilience if one system faces limits.
- A mixed system closes more loops and spreads out trade-offs in cost, time, and technology.

Spoken Dialogue:

"Quick debrief before we close. Which location on campus likely produces the highest CO_2-e from food waste, and how do you know? Think in terms of measurement data multiplied by emission factors. If we put insect bioconversion at that hotspot, what happens to CO_2-e and what co-products do we make? Finally, why might a mix of pathways beat any single method for a whole campus? Talk it through with a partner, anchor your answers in data, and be ready to share one sentence for each."

Slide 71: Review Answers

Objective: Provide clear, data-based answers to the review questions and reinforce the link between measurement, intervention, and carbon impact.

Review Answers:

1. Which location on campus produces the highest CO_2-e emissions from food waste, and how do you know?
    - Likely the cafeteria or dining hall — data shows it generates the largest volume of food waste; multiplying volume × emission factor gives the highest total CO_2-e.
2. If you implemented insect bioconversion at your largest hotspot, what would happen to CO_2-e emissions and what co-products would you generate?
    - CO_2-e emissions decrease (avoiding methane from landfill), and you produce high-protein feed (larvae meal) and organic fertilizer (frass).
3. Why might using multiple waste-valorization methods together be more effective than relying on a single solution?
    - Different waste streams suit different processes, spreading risk, maximizing recovery, and balancing trade-offs (e.g., speed, nutrient retention, scalability).

Spoken Dialogue:

"Let's check. The cafeteria or dining hall is usually the top emitter, because it generates the largest mass of scraps daily, and we know this from bin counts and weights combined with emission factors. Insect bioconversion would lower CO_2-e significantly—around minus 300 grams of CO_2-e per kilogram avoided—and at the same time it would produce two valuable co-products: larvae, which can be used as animal feed to replace soy or fishmeal, and frass, which is a nutrient-rich fertilizer. Using multiple methods is better than relying on a single one because different wastes are best suited to different systems. A mix maximizes resource recovery, minimizes emissions, and adds resilience when one pathway reaches its limit. That's how measurement and carbon math guide smarter designs—by allowing us to target hotspots, choose the right tools, and prove the impact."

Wrapping it Up!

Slides 78-71

Slide 72: Module 2 Key Takeaways – Organic Waste & Systems Thinking

Objective: Summarize the main concepts from Module 2, reinforcing understanding of food waste management and environmental impact.

Introduction / Overview:

- Remind students that this module focused on understanding food-waste pathways and their environmental consequences. Highlight that the goal is to make informed decisions using data and calculations.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Food-waste pathways differ in how they process food, what they produce, and their environmental effects.
- CO_2 calculations are essential for comparing the greenhouse gas impact of different strategies.
- Data-driven designs enable circular solutions, turning food waste into compost, biochar, or other sustainable outputs.

Facilitation Tips:

- Encourage students to relate these takeaways to real-life situations, like dorm or home food waste.
- Ask students which pathway they think is the most effective and why.
- Emphasize the connection between measurements, calculations, and designing sustainable solutions.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- Ask: *"If you could design a small-scale solution for your dorm or home, what would it look like?"*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"As we wrap up Module 2, let's take a step back and look at the big picture. We started by asking how food waste could be redefined as a resource, and we explored five different pathways — landfill, composting, Bokashi, anaerobic digestion, and biochar — each with unique benefits and trade-offs. We also practiced doing the carbon math, learning how to compare emissions across systems and identify which pathways deliver the biggest climate benefits. Then, we moved into measurement, seeing how simple tools like bin counts and density estimates can generate powerful data for planning solutions. The key takeaway here is that waste isn't just something to throw away; it's a material flow that can either worsen climate change or help us build circular systems. By using systems thinking, we can see how waste connects to carbon, soil health, energy, and even economic opportunities, and we can design smarter strategies that close loops instead of breaking them."*

Slide 73: What You'll Learn – Mindmap

Objective: Provide students with a visual overview of Module 2, showing how food waste pathways, carbon math, and measurement strategies are connected in a circular food system.

Introduction/Overview:

- *"This mindmap summarizes the key concepts we've covered. It shows how different pathways for food waste, carbon math tools, and measurement methods interconnect to create a circular system in agriculture and food management."*

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Five Pathways of Food Waste: Landfill, Aerobic Compost, Bokashi Fermentation, Anaerobic Digester, Biochar Pyrolysis.
- Each pathway has unique outcomes: GHG emissions, nutrient recovery, or co-products.
- Insect bioconversion can be added to certain pathways to capture protein and other nutrients.
- Carbon Math & Upcycling Toolkit: ΔCO_2-eq helps quantify climate impact of each pathway.
- Compare methods: insects vs. biochar, bokashi vs. others, compost vs. others.
- Every method has trade-offs—context matters.
- Measuring Waste & Calculating CO_2 Impact:
- Methods:
    - Visual estimation, counting bins, weighing samples, tracking frequency.
    - Converting volume to mass, then mass to CO_2-eq using emission factors.

- Double Valorization: Crop residues, used cooking oil, fruit pulp, and manure + food waste streams can produce energy, fertilizer, bioplastics, or animal feed.

Facilitation Tips:

- Walk students through each branch step by step so they see connections between pathways and calculations.
- Highlight how measurement informs carbon math, which then informs the choice of valorization method.
- Encourage students to trace a single item (e.g., banana peel) through the mindmap to see how it could be handled, measured, and repurposed.
- Use color-coding in the mindmap to reinforce visual learning: green for pathways, orange for carbon math, blue for measurement.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"Keep this mindmap in mind as we move into activities where students will map campus waste, calculate CO_2-e, and design interventions using these pathways and tools."*

Spoken Dialogue:

> *"Before we move forward, let's visualize how everything we've learned in this module connects. Imagine a mindmap that links the five waste-valorization pathways, the carbon math that measures their impact, and the tools we use to track waste in real-world settings. At the center is organic waste, and branching from it are the strategies that transform it: compost brings nutrients back to soil, Bokashi and digesters recycle food into fertilizer and energy, biochar locks carbon away while improving soil, and insect bioconversion creates both feed and fertilizer. Around the edges, you see the supporting ideas we've practiced: data collection, CO_2-equivalent calculations, trade-off analysis, and systems thinking. This mindmap is your toolkit — it shows how different approaches don't stand alone, but interlock to form a circular economy. Keep this structure in mind as we move into future modules, because the skills you built here will connect to water, energy, and farming systems more broadly."*

Slide 74: Career Pathways

Objective: Introduce students to various career pathways in agriculture, plant science, sustainability, and related fields, emphasizing how science, technology, and community engagement intersect in these roles.

Introduction/Overview:

- Highlight that careers in this field are diverse and can be grouped into three broad categories:
  - Technical & Engineering Careers – designing and maintaining systems for sustainable agriculture and waste management.
  - Science & Research Careers – studying plants, soil, pests, and environmental impacts to generate new knowledge.
  - Policy, Education & Community Careers – translating science into actionable programs, policies, and education for communities and organizations.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Technical & Engineering examples:
  - Waste Management Engineer, Environmental Engineer, Bioenergy Plant Technician, Agricultural Machinery Designer, Water & Wastewater Treatment Operator.
- Science & Research examples:
  - Soil Scientist, Environmental Scientist, Microbiologist, Food Waste Researcher, Climate Data Analyst.
- Policy, Education & Community examples:
  - Sustainability Coordinator, Environmental Policy Advisor, Community Compost Program Manager, Agri-Food Systems Educator, Corporate Sustainability Officer.
- Careers often overlap; skills in science, problem-solving, and technology are valuable across categories.
- Connect the careers to what students learned in previous modules about plant growth, pest management, diagnostics, and sustainability.

Facilitation Tips:

- Ask students if they can think of any local jobs or community roles that match these careers.

- Encourage students to identify which category aligns with their interests (e.g., hands-on engineering vs. research vs. education/community).
- Highlight real-world applications and emerging fields, like AgriTech or climate data analysis, to make careers relatable.
- Use the suggested dialogue to briefly explain specific examples, showing how plant science, IPM, and technology intersect with careers.

Wrap-Up Prompt / Transition:

- Ask: "How do these career paths help make agriculture more sustainable and efficient?" Transition to next slide by noting that understanding these careers reinforces the connection between science, technology, and community impact in modern agriculture.

Spoken Dialogue:

"Now let's shift to thinking about your future. The knowledge and skills from this module don't just live in the classroom; they connect to real career paths in sustainability, agriculture, science, and engineering. If you're interested in policy, you might work on designing food-waste reduction programs or advising governments on climate-smart agriculture. If you lean toward science and technology, you could become a researcher in composting microbes, a biochar systems engineer, or a data analyst mapping carbon footprints. Innovation and business offer other opportunities — developing circular food companies, upcycling products, or working on sustainable packaging. And of course, careers in farming, urban agriculture, or nonprofit advocacy all benefit from understanding waste-to-resource strategies. As you consider these pathways, think about how your own strengths — whether in science, communication, design, or leadership — might fit into building a more circular food system."

Slide 75: Sneak Peek on Hands-On Activities

Objective: Introduce students to the upcoming hands-on activities in the module. Build excitement for practical, experiential learning related to waste management and sustainable practices.

Introduction/Overview:

- *"Next, we're going to give you a sneak peek at the hands-on activities you'll get to try. These activities help you see how the concepts we've discussed can work in real life."*
- Briefly list the three activities: Cafeteria Waste Audit 2.0, DIY Bokashi Bucket Setup, and Biochar Demo.

Key Points to Emphasize:

- Cafeteria Waste Audit 2.0: Students will measure and categorize food waste to understand patterns and impacts.
- DIY Bokashi Bucket Setup: Students will learn how to ferment food waste using bokashi, a simple method for composting.
- Biochar Demo: Demonstrates how organic waste can be converted into a soil enhancer, showing the potential of waste-to-resource practices.
- Highlight that these activities combine science, sustainability, and problem-solving.

Facilitation Tips:

- Encourage curiosity: Ask students what they think each activity might involve before explaining.
- Relate to everyday life: Connect the activities to their school cafeteria or home kitchen.
- Emphasize safety and proper handling, especially for the biochar demo.

Wrap-up Prompt / Transition:

- *"These activities are designed to make the concepts we've learned tangible. As we move forward, you'll get hands-on experience applying these ideas."*

Spoken Dialogue:

"To close, here's a preview of what's coming next. In our upcoming sessions, you'll have a chance to apply these ideas through hands-on activities that bring waste-to-resource strategies to life. You might measure and sort actual cafeteria waste, calculate the CO_2-equivalent of your school's scraps, or design small-scale pilots using compost bins, Bokashi buckets, or even insect larvae systems. You'll also practice presenting solutions, just like a real sustainability consultant would do for a school or city. The point of these activities is not just to test your knowledge, but to give you the confidence to turn ideas into action. When you leave this module, you'll be ready to look at waste differently — not as a problem to hide, but as a resource you can measure, calculate, and transform. That mindset shift is the

first step toward becoming a leader in building sustainable food systems."